**PREA Audit Report**  ☒ Interim  ☐ Final  
COMMUNITY CONFINEMENT FACILITIES

**Date of report:** October 10, 2017

### Auditor Information

**Auditor name:** Kayleen Murray  
**Address:** P.O. Box 2400 Wintersville, Ohio 43953  
**Email:** kmurray.prea@yahoo.com  
**Telephone number:** 740-317-6630

### Date of facility visit

**Date of report:** October 10, 2017

**Date of facility visit:** July 17-18, 2017 and September 14, 2017

### Facility Information

**Facility name:** Franklin County Community Based Correctional Facility (FCCBCF)  
**Facility physical address:** 1745 Alum Creek Drive, Columbus, Ohio 43207

### Facility telephone number

**Facility telephone number:** 614-525-4605

### The facility is:

- [ ] Federal  
- ☒ State  
- ☐ County  
- [ ] Military  
- ☐ Municipal  
- ☐ Private for profit  
- ☐ Private not for profit

### Facility type:

- ☐ Community treatment center  
- ☐ Halfway house  
- ☐ Alcohol or drug rehabilitation center  
- ☒ Community-based confinement facility  
- ☐ Mental health facility  
- ☐ Other

**Name of facility’s Chief Executive Officer:** Jacki Dickenson

**Number of staff assigned to the facility in the last 12 months:** Click here to enter text.

### Designed facility capacity

**Designed facility capacity:** 193 (male and female)

### Current population of facility

**Current population of facility:** 147 males/40 females

### Facility security levels/inmate custody levels

**Facility security levels/inmate custody levels:** minimum

### Age range of the population

**Age range of the population:** 18 and older

**Name of PREA Compliance Manager:** Jack Bendolph  
**Title:** Assistant Director  
**Email address:** jackbendolph@wccbcf.org  
**Telephone number:** 614-525-4607

### Agency Information

**Name of agency:** Click here to enter text.

**Governing authority or parent agency:** (if applicable) Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction

### Physical address

**Physical address:** 770 West Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio 43222

### Mailing address

**Mailing address:** (if different from above)

**Telephone number:** 614-387-0588

### Agency Chief Executive Officer

**Name:** Gary Mohr  
**Title:** Director  
**Email address:** gary.mohr@odrc.state.oh.us  
**Telephone number:** 614-387-0588

### Agency-Wide PREA Coordinator

**Name:** Cynthia Ali  
**Title:** Program Administrator  
**Email address:** Cynthia.ali@odrc.state.oh.us  
**Telephone number:** 614-728-1494
AUDIT FINDINGS

NARRATIVE

The PREA audit for Franklin County Community Based Correctional Facility was conducted on July 17-18, 2017 and September 14, 2017 in Columbus, Ohio. Franklin County opened in October of 1993 and continues to provide a secure treatment environment for male and female felony offenders. The facility provided the auditor with an email that contained relevant documentation to indicate compliance with the PREA standards. The pre-audit questionnaire, a list of community partners and their phone numbers, floor plans, and MOU’s were included in the documentation. The auditor had ample time to review the documentation before the onsite audit.

During the audit the auditor toured the facility and conducted formal and informal staff and resident interviews. During the tour it was noted that multiple PREA audit notices were posted in both resident and staff areas including the main entrance where visitors to the facility could also see the notices. The notices included the name and address (mailing and email) of the auditor and the date in which the notice was posted. The auditor received no contact from residents or staff prior to the audit. Also posted were notices as to how anyone could report a PREA allegation. The notices included the names and numbers of internal and external agencies they can make an anonymous report, and that anyone can report a PREA allegation to any staff member at any time verbally or in writing.

Fifteen male residents and five female residents from the various housing units were interviewed including one resident that requested to speak with the auditor. Residents were asked about their experience with PREA education, allegation reporting, communication with staff, safety, restrooms, knock and announcements, grievance procedures, pat downs, PREA brochures/postings, and the zero tolerance policy. The resident who requested to speak with the auditor wanted information about a prior allegation and retaliation charge. One resident who identified as being gay was interviewed. The resident who identified as being gay was also questioned on his concern for the housing unit or dorm and if it is a dorm selected based on sexual orientation.

The auditor also interviewed specialized staff. This staff includes the Interim Executive Director (September 14, 2017 interview), PREA Coordinator (Assistance Director), Senior Operations Manager, Senior Program Manager, Intake Resident Advisor, Clinical Manager, Human Resource personnel, and Emotional Support personnel. The auditor reviewed Ohio State University Hospital’s website for information on their SANE program. The auditor was able to verify services through the organization’s website. The facility does have a MOU with Sexual Assault Response Network of Central Ohio (SARNCO) to provide emotional supportive services. The facility will use staff trained emotional support personnel to accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews if a SARNCO advocate is not available. Random staff were questioned about PREA training, how to report, to whom to report, filing reports, investigations, conducting interviews, follow-up and monitoring retaliation, first responder duties, and the facility’s coordinated response plan.

The facility management staff met with the auditor prior to the commencement of the audit. After a brief opening with agency staff, the auditor toured the facility. The tour consisted of examining all housing units, dorms, bathrooms, group areas, operations posts, recreation yards, utility areas, kitchen, and maintenance areas. A review of employee files, training records, PREA acknowledgments, PREA forms, and data logs was also completed. The auditor gave a closeout and shared some of the immediate findings.
DESCRIPTION OF FACILITY CHARACTERISTICS

The Franklin County Community Correctional Facility is located in Columbus, Ohio and serves adult male and female felony offenders. The facility has two levels that consist of two separate wings in one building, one wing for male residents and one wing for females. The male offender wing can house 150 male residents and the female wing can house 43. In order to access the secure perimeter of the facility one must report to the main entrance, sign in. Residents will enter the main entrance, then enter into a room off the lobby area, and receive a pat down or a strip search. Resident Advisors working Central Control will allow assess through the secure perimeter, while staff members can assess the secure perimeter with electronic key cards.

The facility has several common areas that both the male and female wing have assess; however, the residents have limited interaction with each other. The intake area has two segregation cells that contain a toilet/sink combo unit. Staff members on the outside of the cell can see into the room but no the toilet area. In the wet shower area, staff will complete strip searches. One staff member of the same sex will visually view the residents and check clothing for contraband, while the other staff member of any gender stays on the outside area of the wet shower wall and watches staff complete the search. This staff member has no visual of the resident. In this area, resident will also have orientation group. This group is done upon intake and contains both male and female residents. Staff ensures that each gender is across from the other and that there is no contact.

The dining hall is used for meals, various program activities, and visitation. The facility conducts four meal services for breakfast, lunch, and dinner. There is outdoor eating area but is not currently used by the facility. The kitchen area has several cameras, including the serving line, and security mirrors to cover blind spots. The walk-in coolers and staff offices have windows in the doors. The facility has placed a camera in the pantry area based on a recommendation from the last PREA audit. Male residents can work in the kitchen under staff supervision. There is a sally port area in the back of the kitchen for receiving products. The doors can be unlocked by key or by the main control post. There are cameras that cover the outside dock area.

The maintenance hallway is off from the kitchen. This is a secure area of the building and residents have no access. The auditor had an opportunity to speak with contract maintenance workers about their PREA training and knowledge of knock and announcements. All maintenance storage rooms have windows in the doors.

The program hall is another common area for male and female residents, but resident do not have classes/groups together. The male and female wings have separate entrances to this area. The group rooms do not have cameras but all rooms have windows in the door which give clear line of site views. There is an area in this hallway that is not on camera; however, the hall can be seen by staff working in Central Control. The library is available to male and female residents during scheduled hours.

The facility has three male housing units on two levels. The housing units are equipped with dorm rooms, laundry room, workout equipment, case manager offices, lounge area, bathrooms, and recreation yard access. The bottom level has a housing desk. The dorms are along with perimeter of the unit with the upper level having a catwalk. The units are set up with three-four beds per room while one dorm (K) is set up open bay style. Some camera angles can view into these areas. Residents are required to change in the restroom and be properly dress in common areas. Facility management has identified specific dorm rooms and/or beds for residents that identify as transgender or intersex, and for residents that are classified as vulnerable or abusive. Residents are assigned dorms based upon their Ohio Risk Assessment System (ORAS) score. The residents have laundry rooms have windows in the door and must have staff provide access to the room. The entrance door to the bathrooms have a window in the door. There are three toilet stalls and one multi-use shower with four showerheads. The facility has half curtains covering two of the toilet stalls. The auditor made a recommendation to have the third stall covered as well. This particular stall can be seen when the bathroom door is opened. The bathroom in K dorm has two toilet stalls with doors, two urinals, and one multi-use shower with four showerheads. The shower area is covered by a curtain with clear bottom.

The females are housed in a separate wing of the facility. The female wing is housed all on one floor. A staff housing desk is set up at the top of the dayroom area. This unit contains a dayroom, group rooms, exercise equipment, laundry room, and access to the recreation yard. The dorms on the unit do not have doors and there is a camera that can see into these areas. The residents are required to change in the bathroom and be fully dressed in common areas. There are two bathrooms available, both set up with four toilet stalls with either a door or curtain and two individual shower stalls with curtains. The laundry room has windows in the wall and door.

The facility has put in place several strategies to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The facility has placed security mirrors in strategic places to minimize blind spot areas and Franklin County's electronic surveillance program includes 80 cameras placed throughout the facility (interior and exterior) that have the capability to record and playback up to 30 days. Some cameras are omnidirectional. Camera footage is viewed by Resident Monitor staff assigned to the main control post. Cameras that have views to sensitive areas cannot be seen by cross-gender staff and only have live views. These recordings are stored on a server with only administrative access. Resident Monitor staff are required to conduct four security checks per shift and circulations throughout the day. Identified blind spot areas have increased circulation.
SUMMARY OF AUDIT FINDINGS
Franklin County Community Based Correctional Facility has had three (3) PREA allegations during the reporting period. The allegations were all administratively investigated. The facility has referred allegations to the Franklin County Sheriff’s Department for any activity that appears to be criminal. The auditor was able to interview a resident who filed an allegation of sexual harassment, but was then accused of retaliation due to investigation determination.

The resident who made an allegation spoke with the auditor and had several concerns about how the process was conducted by staff, the determination of retaliation by the alleged victims behavior, and that the alleged victim was still housed in the same room as the alleged abuser. The auditor spoke with the Assistant Director, the Senior Operations Manager, and the Senior Program Manager. The auditor did not have any issues with how the administrative investigation was conducted or the allegation determination. The auditor voiced her concerns with the facility management not moving either the abuser or victim during or after the investigation, especially since there was a charge of retaliation. The Assistant Director was not of the mind to move the resident because he thought the behavior was manipulative more than an actual need to protect a resident. There was a discussion about the appropriateness of moving residents and conducting retaliation watches. The auditor requested the staff to move either the alleged victim or alleged abuser in this specific situation.

The auditor later received an email from the alleged victim stating that two days after the audit, he was sexually assaulted by the same alleged abuser. The auditor immediately reached out to the facility. The facility had some miscommunication and the alleged victim was not moved out of the room. After receiving notice that an alleged incident of sexual assault occurred, the facility made arrangements for the alleged victim to be removed from the program (a successful completion of program).

The auditor made arrangements to meet with the facility’s Director who was off during the initial onsite visit. The auditor was able to discuss with the director some of the concerns noted during the visit and the facts of the second allegation. FCCBCF’s PREA Coordinator is new to the position and made it clear to the auditor that he did not want the responsibilities of the job. The coordinator did not understand the intent of the standards and did not make an effort to ensure compliance. This stance was in direct conflict with the facility’s Senior Operations Manager and Senior Program Manager. Both of these individuals showed a willingness to not only comply with the PREA standards, but to be proactive in providing policies and practices that go beyond the basic requirements of detection, protection, and responding to allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The auditor discussed the process of the coordinated response plan and offering advocate and mental health services to victims. The director was very receptive to the feedback and agreed that the PREA Coordinator (who is no longer employed by the agency) did not appropriately address issues and standard requirements. The director is in the process of selecting a new coordinator who will have the buy-in necessary to ensure full compliance. The auditor reassured the director that the actions of the coordinator during the onsite visit did not distract from the auditor’s ability to see that the Senior Operations Manager and Senior Program Manager were more than capable of ensuring standard compliance.

The staff of Franklin County indicated that they received formal PREA training during orientation training or as part of their annual training along with refresher training. Staff was able to specifically talk about their responsibilities as first responders, how they were to respond to any allegation reported to them or if they suspected incidents of sexual abuse/sexual harassment, how to communicate effectively with offenders who may be LGBTI, and impressed upon the auditor that their main duty was to keep everyone safe.

The offenders at Franklin County expressed that they have no doubt that the staff would keep them safe and would respond appropriately should an incident of sexual harassment/sexual abuse take place. The offenders were able to clearly recite the education they received concerning their rights under the PREA standards, and knew the location of PREA related postings. All offenders affirmed being screened at intake for risk of vulnerability or abusiveness and again by their counselor at a later date.

All MOU’s documented the partnership between the facility and the contracting agency concerning services to be provided should there be a need. The auditor was able to review Ohio State University Hospital’s website and confirmed the free services the agencies would provide to a victim of sexual abuse/assault.

Overall, the auditor was left with the impression that the PREA Coordinator needed to have a greater understanding and commitment to not just complying with the standards, but to implementing practices that show a commitment to the safety of residents, and the necessary support to staff. All other leadership and staff of Franklin County have tried to make implementing the PREA standards a priority. The staff have received the necessary training and authority to detect, protect, and respond to any incident of sexual abuse/sexual harassment. The facility director is guiding the facility toward proactive compliance and equipping staff with opportunities to increase their ability to protect and detect sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

Number of standards exceeded: 0
Number of standards met: 32
Number of standards not met: 7
Number of standards not applicable: 3
Standard 115.211 Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA Coordinator

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☐ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☒ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

The facility has an agency wide written policy mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The policy includes how the facility will implement its approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment; definitions of prohibited behavior; sanctions for those found to have participated in sexual abuse or sexual harassment; and appropriate strategies to reduce and prevent sexual abuse and sexual harassment of residents.

The agency PREA Coordinator is the agency's Assistant Director, and reports directly to the agency's Interim Executive Director. During staff interviews, the PREA coordinator indicated while he had enough authority to develop, implement, and oversee the facility's efforts to comply with the PREA standards, he did not want the responsibilities of the job. He is new to the position and did not have an understanding of the intent of the standards. The Senior Operations Manager guided the auditor during the onsite visit and answered all questions related to the facility’s ability to comply with standards. The Executive Director, during a second visit, was very disappointed at the behavior of the assistant director. The assistant director is no longer with the facility. The Interim Director is currently taking on the duties of the PREA Coordinator until she can appoint a staff member that has the time, authority, and influence necessary to lead, coordinate, guide, and monitor successful on-going implementation of policies and procedures that comply with the PREA standards.

**CORRECTIVE ACTION:**
The Interim Director needs to appoint a PREA Coordinator who is a member of upper-level management and has the time, authority, and influence to develop, implement, and oversee the facility’s efforts to comply with the PREA standards. This person should also have an understanding of the intent of the standards.

Review:
Policy and Procedure
Interview with PREA Coordinator
Interview with Executive Director

Standard 115.212 Contracting with other entities for the confinement of residents

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☐ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

N/A: The PREA Coordinator advises that the facility is not a public agency and does not contract with other facilities.
Standard 115.213 Supervision and monitoring

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The facility has a staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing, and where appropriate video monitoring to protect residents against sexual misconduct. The staffing plan takes into consideration the physical layout of the facility, types of residents housed at the facility, and the number of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents. The facility management has considered all blind spot areas and developed an appropriate response to maintain the safety and security of the facility.

The staffing plan was developed with the agency PREA coordinator along with other facility leadership. The team reviews the previous plan and documents ways the facility can improve its methods of preventing and detecting any incidents of sexual abuse/sexual harassment. Staffing levels are continuously monitored. The facility recently had an outside agency assess the safety and security of the facility and ways to address any issues.

There have been no deviations to the staffing plan during this audit cycle.

The auditor has reviewed the agency's written policy concerning what information is to be contained in the staffing plan and the number of staff members required to operate each shift. A review of floor plans, camera placement, and identified blind spot areas was conducted by the auditor. During interviews with facility staff, the auditor was informed how staff placement, security mirrors, required head counts and circulations, and video monitoring are used to ensure maximum safety and security. There is a policy requirement to have the staffing plan reviewed annually and updated if necessary.

Review:
Policy and Procedure
Facility tour
Staffing plan
Deviation Report
Floor plans with camera placement/security mirrors
Interview with PREA Coordinator
Interview with Resident Advisor
Interview with Senior Operations Manager

Standard 115.215 Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☐ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☒ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.
The facility does not conduct cross-gender strip, pat-down, or body cavity searches of residents except when performed by medical professionals. There is always a female staff member on duty, so no programming or other outside activities have been denied to female residents due to staffing. Pat-downs are completed near a camera view and supervisors will complete reviews in order to ensure proper professional searches are being conducted. All re-entries into the facility are stripped searched by the same sex staff member.

The facility allows residents to shower, perform bodily functions, and dress in areas not viewable to staff. The entrance door to the bathrooms in the male housing units have a window in the door. There are three toilet stalls and one multi-use shower with four showerheads. The facility has half curtains covering two of the toilet stalls. The auditor made a recommendation to have the third stall covered as well. This particular stall can be seen when the bathroom door is opened. The bathroom in K dorm has two toilet stalls with doors, two urinals, and one multi-use shower with four showerheads. The shower area is covered by a curtain with clear bottom. There are two bathrooms available in the female housing unit. Both are set up with four toilet stalls with either a door or curtain and two individual shower stalls with curtains. Residents are required to change in the bathroom and be dressed in all common areas including dorm rooms.

The facility has housed two transgender residents in the past. The agency has implemented a policy addressing the proper housing, search, and showering of any transgender or intersex resident. The housing units have several dorms within each unit that are set up where residents who are identified as highly vulnerable or highly abusive or transgender or intersex would be housed and in beds that are easily viewable to staff. The transgender residents were offered showering options such as showering at different time in order to protect privacy and offer safety. The auditor discussed housing and bathroom issues with staff. The staff report that their training prepared them to interact with a transgender client professionally and were able to manage transgender residents appropriately. The policy does not allow staff to physically examine a transgender or intersex resident for the sole purpose of determining genital status.

Facility staff have not received proper training for patting down a transgender or intersex resident. The residents that identified as transgender prior were housed in the male unit and all searches were completed by male staff members. The staff did not vary the search techniques for the transgender residents. Any referred transgender residents would be identified as such prior to placement and a gender classification would be made by administration. Administration would determine which staff would conduct pat-down and strip searches.

CORRECTIVE ACTION:
The facility has not trained staff on the proper way to professionally and respectfully search a transgender resident. Residents interviewed during the onsite visit complained about the vast differences in pat searches depending upon the staff member completing the search. The facility needs to have a standardized pat search process for all staff to follow along with training staff on the proper way to pat search a transgender resident.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Staffing plan
Facility tour
Training records
Interview with PREA Coordinator
Interview with Executive Director
Interview with Senior Operations Manager
Interview with Operations Supervisor
Interview with random Resident Advisor staff
Interview with residents

Standard 115.216 Residents with disabilities and residents who are limited English proficient

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.
The facility has a list of Supreme Court of Ohio Court Interpreters to provide disabled resident equal opportunity to participate in all aspects of the facility's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The facility identifies residents who may be limited English proficient and works with interpreters so that residents can benefit from all aspects of the facility's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Per policy, the facility will only rely on resident interpreters if a delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the resident's safety, the performance of first-responder duties, or the investigation of the resident's allegations.

Staff are trained on how to ensure that PREA is communicated with residents having a cognitive or physical disability and who to call to help residents who may have a language barrier. The facility will use a qualified employee to aid any resident in understanding agency rules, PREA, and other regulations. If a qualified staff member is unavailable, outside assistance by a qualified person will be used at no cost to the resident. At this time, the facility does not have a resident who is in need of these services.

Interviews with staff and a review of agency policy confirmed the process of how the facility would assist any resident with a disability or is limited English proficient.

Review:
Policy and Procedure
Training Curriculum
Interview with Clinical Manager
Interview with Senior Operations Manager

Standard 115.217 Hiring and promotion decisions

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☐ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☒ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The agency has a policy that prohibits hiring or promoting anyone who may have contact with the residents and prohibits the services of any contractor who may have contact with residents who: has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution; has been convicted or engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied treats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse; or has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the above section.

The agency conducts a background check for all prospective employees, including temporary employees, independent contractors, volunteers, and student interns or required the contractor, vendor, volunteer to provide a background check. Record checks will be completed every five years. Every five years the Human Resource Department will have Franklin County Sheriff’s Department run background checks on the entire facility regardless when a person was hired in order to guarantee all staff received the required updated check. All employees, independent contractors, volunteers, and interns are required by policy to immediately report to their supervisor any arrests, citations, and complaints to professional licensing boards. Employees document this continued affirmation on an annual basis.

All successful applicants are notified of the PREA background check requirement and that any omission regarding sexual misconduct is grounds for termination. Employees are required to document their adherence to this policy.

The Human Resource Department will review the personnel file, specifically any disciplinary action, of any employee who is up for a promotion.

The Human Resource Department conducts referral checks for all new hires.

The auditor conducted a review of ten randomly chosen employee’s files and confirmed the background checks (initial and five-year...
update), documentation of the continual affirmation to disclose any sexual misconduct, referral checks, and the promotion process. The auditor conducted an interview with Human Resources personnel who took the auditor systematically through the hiring and promotion process.

CORRECTIVE ACTION:
During the files review, the auditor noted that the facility was not conducting reference checks on applicants who had previously worked in another institution. The current reference check form only list correctional institution. The auditor informed the facility of the definition of institution as defined by 42 U.S.C. § 1997. The facility’s interview questionnaire for applicants does not contain the complete language as found in the standard to ensure that the facility does not employ anyone who has (1) engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, or other institution; (2) been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied treats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse; or (3) been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in (2).

Review:
Policy and procedure
Employee files
On boarding documentation
Interview with Human Resources staff

Standard 115.218 Upgrades to facilities and technologies

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The facility has not acquired a new building, nor is it planning any substantial expansion or modification of the existing facility.

The facility constantly reviews the facility’s needs to its video monitoring system. This includes taking into consideration how such technology may enhance its ability to protect residents from sexual abuse. The facility recently received a technology grant from the Bureau of Community Sanctions for new hand held radios.

Facility management and the PREA Coordinator review the staffing plan annually in order to access the effectiveness of the facility’s security program and if improvements in the electronic monitoring could help in the prevention, detection, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The PREA Coordinator will continue to monitor and request additional resources as needs arise.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Interview with Senior Operations Manager
Interview with PREA Coordinator
Interview with Operations Supervisor

Standard 115.221 Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the
The facility has trained investigators to conduct administrative sexual abuse investigations. The Franklin County Sheriff’s Office is responsible for conducting criminal investigations. The agency and the Sheriff’s Office have a signed MOU outlining the responsibilities of each agency should an allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment lead to a criminal investigation referral.

The facility will use Ohio State University Hospital to provide a Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner when possible or a qualified medical practitioner for any resident who is a victim of sexual abuse. The auditor confirmed that any resident taken to this hospital would be treated by a certified SANE nurse or qualified medical practitioner. The services provided by the hospital would be at no cost to the resident.

The facility has a MOU with Sexual Assault Response Network of Central Ohio (SARNCO) to provide a victim advocate to any victim of sexual abuse. These services will be provided to the resident at no cost. The services were confirmed with the agency.

**Review:**
Policy and Procedure
MOU with Franklin County Sheriff’s Office
MOU with SARNCO
Interview with Administrative Investigators
Interview with PREA Coordinator
Ohio State University Hospital website
Interview with Clinical Manager

---

**Standard 115.222 Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations**

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

The facility conducted nine investigations during this audit cycle:

**Investigation #1:** A resident made a sexual harassment allegation against another resident. The allegation was administratively investigated and determined to be substantiated. There was no criminal activity so no referral for a criminal investigation was necessary.

**Investigation #2:** A resident made a sexual harassment allegation against another resident. The allegation was administratively investigated and determined to be unsubstantiated. There was no criminal activity so no referral for a criminal investigation was necessary.

**Investigation #3:** A resident made a sexual abuse allegation against another resident. The allegation was administratively investigated and
determined to be substantiated. There was no criminal activity so no referral for a criminal investigation was necessary.

Investigation #4: A resident made a sexual harassment allegation against another resident. This allegation was administratively investigated and determined to be substantiated. There was no criminal activity involved so the incident was not referred to the Franklin County Sheriff’s Office.

Investigation #5: A resident made sexual abuse allegation against another resident. This allegation was administratively investigated and determined to be substantiated. There was no criminal activity involved so the incident was not referred to the Franklin County Sheriff’s Office.

Investigation #6: A resident made sexual abuse allegation against another resident. The allegation was administratively investigated and determined to be substantiated. There was no criminal activity so no referral for criminal investigation was made.

Investigation #7: A resident made sexual abuse allegation against another resident. The allegation was administratively investigated and determined to be substantiated. There was no criminal activity so no referral for criminal investigation was made.

Investigation #8: A resident made a sexual abuse allegation against a staff member. Because criminal activity was apparent, the facility made a referral to the Franklin County Sheriff’s Office for a criminal investigation. The allegation was criminally investigated by Franklin County Sheriff’s Office and determined to be substantiated. The staff member was prosecuted and found guilty of a felony 3 sexual battery charge.

Investigation #9: A resident made a sexual harassment allegation against another resident. The allegation was administratively investigated and determined to be unsubstantiated. There was no criminal activity so no referral for criminal investigation was made.

Franklin County’s website post the investigative policy of the agency and the responsibilities of both the agency and the investigating entity. The auditor reviewed the agency's website and confirmed that the appropriate policy was posted.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Franklin County CBCF website
Interview with PREA Coordinator
Interview with Senior Operations Manager
Interview with Administrative Investigators
Review of investigations

**Standard 115.231 Employee training**

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

*Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

The agency has trained all staff on the PREA required topics. The agency has in-service training annually to ensure all staff know the proper way to prevent, detect, report, and respond to any allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. Staff also receive refresher training every other year that includes a review of Franklin County polices and procedures, staff duties and responsibilities, and the first responder coordinated response plan. All staff receive gender specific training.

During staff interviews, all staff were able to discuss the various PREA related training they received at orientation or during one of the annual training sessions. Staff was well versed on the PREA policies and protocols.
All staff sign an acknowledgment of the training they received.

Supervisors are to ensure that the required training is complete each year.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Training curriculum
Training records
Interview with PREA Coordinator
Interview with Senior Operations Manager
Interview with Human Resource staff
Interview with random staff

**Standard 115.232 Volunteer and contractor training**

- ☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- ☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- ☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

*Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

The facility completes PREA training for all volunteers that have contact with residents. This training includes their responsibilities under the facility’s sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and reporting polices. The auditor was able to speak to maintenance contractor during the audit. The contractors were able to confirm the training they received on PREA. The facility maintains documentation of the training curriculum and sign-in sheets verifying the training received.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Contract/volunteer training
Contractor/volunteer zero tolerance acknowledgement
Interview with Senior Operations Manager

**Standard 115.233 Resident education**

- ☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- ☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- ☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

*Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

All residents receive information at the time of intake about the facility's zero tolerance policy, how to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment, their rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment, and to be free from retaliation for
reporting such incidents. This information is read and reviewed with all residents to ensure each resident understands their rights under the PREA guidelines. If a resident does not understand English or has other disabilities that prevent normal communication, the facility contracts services with other agencies so that each resident can benefit from the facilities efforts to prevent, detect, report, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment (See standard 115.216). Residents sign acknowledgment of receiving this information.

All residents receive orientation training that includes PREA education and receive handouts that include ways to report and reporting phone numbers. This information is also on posters located throughout the facility. During this orientation group, the facilitator ensures that residents understand the services available to them at no cost and the limits to confidentiality.

During resident interviews, all offenders reported receiving the PREA education and information at intake and during orientation group. Residents also indicated that their case managers reviewed ways to keep themselves safe, how to report including anonymously, and the toll free numbers posted near the phones. Postings with PREA related information were located in conspicuous areas throughout the facility.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Resident training curriculum
Resident handbook
PREA postings
Facility tour
Interview with residents
Interview with Senior Operations Manager
Interview with Clinical Manager
Interview with Intake Resident Advisor

**Standard 115.234 Specialized training: Investigations**

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

The facility has a standardized process for administratively investigating any allegations. The facility has four administratively trained investigators. The training included techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims, proper use of Miranda and Garity warnings, evidence collection in a confinement setting, and required evidence to substantiate a case for administrative action or criminal referral. The training was provided by the Moss Group.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Administrative Investigator training curriculum
Administrative Investigator training certificate
Interview with Administrative Investigators

**Standard 115.235 Specialized training: Medical and mental health care**

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)
Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The facility provides all medical and mental health practitioners that work within the facility training on how to detect and assess the signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; how to preserve any physical evidence of sexual abuse; and how to effectively respond to allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

The medical staff at the facility would not complete a forensic examination. Should one be necessary, the resident would be taken to Ohio State University Hospital which would provide a sexual assault nurse examiner or other qualified medical practitioner.

Interviews of the nurse indicate she knows how and whom to report allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Interview with facility nurse
Interview with Clinical Manager
Interview with Senior Operations Manager
Training records

Standard 115.241 Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

All residents are screened for risk of vulnerability or abusiveness at intake. The screening tool used included all required criteria in order to accurately assess the resident’s risk. The case manager will complete the initial screening and rescreen. The policy does not allow for a resident to be disciplined for refusing to answer or for not disclosing complete information in response to questions on the resident’s mental health, sexuality, or previous victimization.

The auditor reviewed several initial screening and rescreening to ensure that they were completed within the specified time frame and that all required criteria was used in the screening. All residents get a 30-day rescreen and a rescreen will also be completed if the facility receives any additional new information or if a PREA allegation occurred regardless of the time frame.

Should a classification of potential victim or potential abuser be made during the screening, appropriate staff would be notified and accommodations if necessary would be made. Should a resident need additional services, the clinical manager would complete an assessment and make a referral for additional services.

Quality assurance checks are completed by the Clinical Manager to ensure screenings are completed appropriately.

CORRECTIVE ACTION:
While conducting interviews with random residents, it was reported to the auditor that some case managers are handing the assessment form to the resident to complete verses affirmatively asking the assessment questions.

The current set up for the screening has the screener ask the resident if he/she is perceived by others as being gay, lesbian, transgender, or gender non-conforming. F.A.Q. dated October 21, 2016, clarifies that the perception determination is based on the screeners perception of
the resident.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Initial PREA assessment screen
PREA assessment rescreen
Interview with case manager
Interview with residents
Interview with Clinical Manager
Interview with PREA Coordinator
Interview with Senior Operations Manager

Standard 115.242 Use of screening information

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

All residents receive a classification based upon their PREA screening information. Classifications include none, potential victim and potential abuser. A resident's classification will be documented but no staff member will be able to see the screening form or answers. Any resident who is classified as potentially vulnerable or potentially abusive will be housed in a designated bed that is easily viewable by staff.

All residents with a classification may have it addressed with treatment goals. These residents work with their case manager to work on the issues underlining their classification and residents can also be referred to individual counseling if necessary.

The facility has a plan for housing a transgender or intersex resident safely which include opportunities to shower separately and make housing and program assignments with a transgender or intersex resident's own views taken into consideration. The agency has developed a team that will address placement issues for any transgender/intersex resident housed with agency. These determinations are made on a case-by-case basis. The facility has housed two transgender residents in the past without any issue. Staff interviewed stated that the training provided by FCCBCF prepared them to manage those specialized residents appropriately.

The auditor and facility management discussed the facility's plan to house residents that are highly vulnerable, highly abusive, or transgender/intersex. The facility was able to describe specific bed placement, group separation, ability to shower separately, and the protocol on safely housing transgender/intersex residents as ways to ensure the safety of each resident.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Facility tour
Initial PREA assessment screening
PREA re-screen assessment
Individual case plan
Staffing plan
Interview with Case Manager
Interview with Clinical Manager
Interview with Resident Advisors
Interview with PREA Coordinator
Interview with random residents
Interview with Senior Operations Manager
Interview with Senior Program Manager
Standard 115.251 Resident reporting

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions.** This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Residents at Franklin County have multiple ways of reporting sexual abuse. Posters throughout the facility indicate how residents can report as well as how to report to an outside agency. Interviews with the residents indicate that they are aware of all means of reporting and that they could report anonymously. They received the information at intake, during orientation training, and in case manager meetings.

The facility has facility phones with the reporting numbers available to residents. This allows residents to make toll free reports and/or anonymous reports to an outside agency.

All residents received information at intake and in their handbooks regarding PREA reporting. Staff received information on how to privately report during staff training.

The auditor interview random residents who were able to describe the various ways that they could report and allegation including anonymously and directly to any staff member. The residents felt comfortable enough to report any problem directly to staff feeling confident that staff would address the situation appropriately.

Review:
- Policy and procedure
- PREA postings
- PREA brochure
- Facility tour
- Interview with PREA Coordinator
- Interview with Resident Advisors
- Interview with Operations Supervisor
- Interview with Senior Operations Manager
- Interview with Intake Resident Advisor
- Interview with residents

Standard 115.252 Exhaustion of administrative remedies

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☐ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions.** This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.
N/A: The PREA Coordinator informed the auditor that the facility does not have a formal administrative procedure for dealing with a resident grievance regarding sexual abuse. Any client can at any time regardless of how much time has passed report an allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. All allegations will receive an administrative investigation. Any allegations written as a grievance will be removed from the grievance process and give to the facility PREA Coordinator for review and investigation.

**Standard 115.253 Resident access to outside confidential support services**

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)

☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

*Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

The facility has a MOU with the Sexual Assault Response Network of Central Ohio (SARNCO) to provide emotional support to any resident who is a victim of sexual abuse. The facility provides the phone number and address of this agency to residents as wells as train them during orientation of the limitations to confidentiality and mandatory reporting.

Residents who were interviewed verified that they received this information and that the information is available on posters located throughout the facility.

The auditor took note of the information on posters located throughout the facility and ensured that the posting contained all the accurate information. A review of the MOU was also completed.

The auditor reviewed the services available to any resident who may need emotional support after an incident of sexual assault/abuse. These services would be provided to any resident after an incident of sexual assault or sexual abuse. The agency has two trained staff that can offer victim support services at the request of the victim.

Review:
Policy and procedure
MOU with SARNCO
Emotional Support Training Certificate
Interview with PREA Coordinator
Interview with Senior Operations Manager
Interview with Clinical Manager

**Standard 115.254 Third-party reporting**

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)

☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

*Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*
The agency has posted on its website ways that anyone can report sexual abuse or sexual harassment on behalf of a resident. Residents are also educated that they can report to family members who can then make a third party report. This information is also on posters located in areas the general public would see.

The facility has not had a third party report.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Franklin County website (www.fccbcf.org)
PREA postings
Facility tour
Interview with Administrative Investigators
Interviews with random residents
Investigation reports

**Standard 115.261 Staff and agency reporting duties**

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Franklin County CBCF policy requires all employees to immediately report any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment including third party and anonymous reports. Apart from the employee's supervisor, no one shall reveal any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions. All allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are reported to the facility’s investigators.

The auditor interviewed all required specialized staff and several random staff members. All staff members indicated that they were given and understand the agency's policy on reporting PREA incidents and were trained on the appropriate way to document a report and to whom they should report an allegation. Staff indicated they understood that they are required to report their own suspicions, or information regarding sexual abuse, sexual harassment, or retaliation.

All staff members with a duty to report based on local law and medical and mental health practitioners are required to inform residents of their status and the limitation of confidentiality at the initiation of services. Interviews with staff members who have a duty to report indicated that they understood their duty to inform residents before providing services.

The facility does not admit residents under the age of 18. The State of Ohio does not require institutions or facilities licensed by the state or facilities in which a person resides as a result of voluntary, civil, or criminal commitment to report to adult protective services (Chapter 5101:2-20 and 5101:2-20-01).

Review:
Policy and procedure
Ohio revised code
Investigation reports
Interview with random staff
Interview with Administrative Investigators
Interview with Case Managers
Interview with Clinical Manager
Interview with Senior Operations Manager
Franklin County has several ways in which to protect residents from sexual abuse, sexual harassment, and retaliation. The facility can move residents to different dorms or beds as well as move staff to a different wing in the building or placing them administrative leave during the course of an investigation or after to prevent retaliation.

An interview with the PREA Coordinator, Senior Operations Manager, and Senior Program Coordinator describe the process on how they determine if an alleged victim or abuser should be moved in order to protect the victim from imminent abuse. The practice is to place a staff member on administrative leave if they are accused of sexual harassment or sexual assault during the investigation. The staff member is to have no contact with the facility or other staff member until a determination has been made. If another resident is the alleged abuser, the abuser and victim can be separated either by housing unit or dorm until a determination has been made.

The facility administratively investigated an allegation of resident on resident sexual harassment between roommates. The allegation was determined to be unsubstantiated. The auditor was able to interview with alleged victim during the onsite visit. The alleged victim reported that he still felt threatened by the alleged abuser and when he tried to bring the situation up in group, he was charged with retaliation. The alleged victim and abuser were roommates at the time of the allegation but facility management did not separate them during or after the allegation. The auditor spoke with facility management during the audit about concerns for resident protection during and after allegations. The PREA Coordinator was worried that residents would be able to manipulate bed movement by making false allegations. The auditor gave guidance on how staff could make determinations on which resident (abuser or victim) could be moved, where to move the resident, and that residents could be disciplined for making knowing false allegations.

The auditor was able to speak with the Director during a separate visit and address protection and retaliation concerns.

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS:
Facility management needs to create a system that take seriously allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment and assess whether moving the alleged abuser or alleged victim in appropriate to keep resident safe. This is especially important when the allegation includes roommates.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Investigation reports
Interview with Human Resource staff
Interview with PREA Coordinator
Interview with Senior Operations Manager
Interview with Senior Program Manager
Interview with Director
Interview with Operations Supervisor

Standard 115.263 Reporting to other confinement facilities
☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
Upon receiving an allegation that a resident was sexually abused while confined at another corrections facility, the Executive Director shall notify in writing the head of the facility or appropriate central office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred and inform the PREA Coordinator. The policy requires notification within 72 hours.

Interviews with the Agency's PREA Coordinator and Senior Operations Manager confirmed this practice.

The facility has not received a report from another confinement facility, nor have they reported to another facility a report of sexual abuse or sexual harassment.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Interview with Executive Director
Interview with PREA Coordinator
Interview with Senior Operations Manager

**Standard 115.264 Staff first responder duties**

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Franklin County has a policy outlining first responder duties for any allegation of sexual abuse. The policy contains instructions for how to separate the abuser and victim, protect and preserve evidence until appropriate authorities can collect it, not to allow the abuser to destroy evidence, request that the victim does not destroy any evidence, and enacting the PREA coordinated response plan, can collect it. All staff are trained on first responder duties (security and non-security staff).

Interviews of security and program staff indicate that staff know the appropriate steps to take to preserve and protect evidence and support the victim. All staff seemed comfortable with the first responder duties and confident that they would respond appropriately based upon their training.

The facility has a sexual abuse first responder checklist. The checklist has completion steps for non-security and security responders. There is also a first responder flow chart outlining security and non-security staff responsibilities.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Coordinated response plan
Sexual Abuse First Responder Checklist
First Responder flow chart
Interviews with random staff
Standard 115.265 Coordinated response

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)

☐ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☒ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Franklin County has an appropriate written coordinated response plan to respond to any incident of sexual abuse. The plan includes the steps to take for first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership. All staff is trained on the plan and this was confirmed through interviews with security and program staff.

During staff interviews, staff knew and could articulate the coordinated response plan. All staff knew the entire plan and did not differentiate between security and non-security tasks. Staff was able to disclose the location of the plan.

CORRECTIVE ACTION:
After the facility’s initial onsite visit, the auditor was notified that there was a report of an alleged sexual assault. Due this particular resident filing a previous allegation, the facility made arrangements for the resident to be removed from the facility in order to ensure the resident would not be assaulted again and be able to get treatment. The facility staff did not follow the coordinated response plan as outlined. The facility needs to develop a plan that enables staff to know and follow proper protocol following a report of sexual abuse.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Coordinated response plan
Sexual Abuse First Responder Checklist
First Responder flow chart
Interview with random staff
Email notification of allegation from victim
Interview with Director

Standard 115.266 Preservation of ability to protect residents from contact with abusers

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)

☐ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

N/A: The PREA Coordinator indicates that the facility is not under any collective bargaining agreements – a non-union agency.

Standard 115.267 Agency protection against retaliation
☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☐ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☒ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The agency has a policy to protect all residents and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation. The facility has assigned the PREA Coordinator or designee as the staff responsible for monitoring against retaliation for at least 90 days. In the case of resident victims, a status check is completed by the assigned counselor.

The facility has the ability to move victim, offender, or employees to other parts of the facility in order to protect against retaliation. Staff members can be placed on administrative leave if necessary.

Interviews with the agency's PREA Coordinator and Senior Operations Manager confirmed the monitoring process.

Staff verified during interviews that their PREA training includes how to detect and protect others from retaliation, and that they have a right to be free from retaliation when reporting or cooperating in an investigation. Residents also verified that they have received information on their right to be free from retaliation.

The auditor interviewed a resident who had reported an allegation of sexual abuse. The allegation was determined to be unsubstantiated. The resident complained that staff disciplined him for retaliation against the alleged abuser and that the staff did not remove him or the alleged victim from the dorm room. The staff did not place the victim on retaliation watch. After the onsite visit, the auditor received an email from this resident alleging sexual abuse from the same abuser.

CORRECTIVE ACTION:
The facility has a duty to ensure that residents are not subject to retaliation during sexual abuse allegations unless the allegation was determined to be unfounded. The facility did not make necessary housing changes during the initial allegation or after the incident of retaliation. The auditor spoke with management staff during the onsite visit about the importance of protecting residents.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Training records
Interview with Case Manager
Interview with Operations Supervisor
Interview with PREA Coordinator
Interview with random staff
Interview with random residents
Interview with Senior Operations Manager
Interview with Senior Program Manager
Interview with Director
Email from alleged victim

Standard 115.271 Criminal and administrative agency investigations
☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)
All allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment including third party and anonymous reports are administratively investigated by a trained investigator and any report that appears criminal in nature are referred to the Franklin County Sheriff’s Office who has the legal authority to conduct a criminal investigation.

The agency has a policy that requires an administrative investigation of all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, and that any allegation that is criminal in nature is referred to the Franklin County Sheriff’s Office. The facility has referred one allegation of staff sexual misconduct to the Franklin County’s Sheriff’s office for a criminal investigation.

The facility conducted nine investigations during this audit cycle:

Investigation #1: A resident made a sexual harassment allegation against another resident. The allegation was administratively investigated and determined to be substantiated. There was no criminal activity so no referral for a criminal investigation was necessary.

Investigation #2: A resident made a sexual harassment allegation against another resident. The allegation was administratively investigated and determined to be unsubstantiated. There was no criminal activity so no referral for a criminal investigation was necessary.

Investigation #3: A resident made a sexual abuse allegation against another resident. The allegation was administratively investigated and determined to be substantiated. There was no criminal activity so no referral for a criminal investigation was necessary.

Investigation #4: A resident made a sexual harassment allegation against another resident. This allegation was administratively investigated and determined to be substantiated. There was no criminal activity involved so the incident was not referred to the Franklin County Sheriff’s Office.

Investigation #5: A resident made sexual abuse allegation against another resident. This allegation was administratively investigated and determined to be substantiated. There was no criminal activity involved so the incident was not referred to the Franklin County Sheriff’s Office.

Investigation #6: A resident made a sexual abuse allegation against another resident. The allegation was administratively investigated and determined to be substantiated. There was no criminal activity so no referral for criminal investigation was made.

Investigation #7: A resident made a sexual abuse allegation against another resident. The allegation was administratively investigated and determined to be substantiated. There was no criminal activity so no referral for criminal investigation was made.

Investigation #8: A resident made a sexual abuse allegation against a staff member. Because criminal activity was apparent, the facility made a referral to the Franklin County Sheriff’s Office for a criminal investigation. The allegation was criminally investigated by Franklin County Sheriff’s Office and determined to be substantiated. The staff member was prosecuted and found guilty of a felony 3 sexual battery charge.

Investigation #9: A resident made a sexual harassment allegation against another resident. The allegation was administratively investigated and determined to be unsubstantiated. There was no criminal activity so no referral for criminal investigation was made.

The agency investigators were interviewed and walked through their process of investigating any PREA related complaint and how this information is used determine whether an allegation is substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded. The investigators collect all relevant information (interviews with staff, victim, witness, and the abuser; review any surveillance information, and make note of any facility issue that could have aided in the allegation) and pass this information along with a recommendation to the PREA Coordinator. The PREA Coordinator determines the outcome of the investigation.

The investigators written report includes whether staff actions or failures to act contribute to the abuse and a description of the physical and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings. The investigator would work closely with the sheriff’s office should the facility have an allegation that needed criminal referral. The administrative investigator would report the outcome of the investigation to the resident.

The PREA Coordinator maintains all records from all allegations for as long as the abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus
five years.

Review:
Policy and Procedure
Interview with Administrative Investigators
Review of investigation reports

Standard 115.272 Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

By agency policy and confirmed by investigators and PREA Coordinator interviews, the agency imposes a standard of preponderance of evidence or 51% to substantiate an allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment.

The PREA Coordinator makes the final determination of investigation outcome.

Review:
Policy and Procedure
Interview with Administrative Investigators
Interview with PREA Coordinator

Standard 115.273 Reporting to residents

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The administrative investigator is responsible for informing a resident who alleges sexual abuse the outcome of the investigation. The facility request information from the legal authority if the investigation is criminal in nature to inform the alleged victim of the outcome of an investigation.

The notice includes whether the abuser, if a staff member, is no longer posted in the resident’s unit; no longer employed at the facility; has been indicted on a charge related to the sexual abuse within the facility; or has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility. The notice includes whether the abuser, if another resident, has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility or has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility.
All residents who alleged some type of PREA allegation during this audit cycle received a notice of investigation outcome and signed that they had received such notice.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Review outcome notice
Interview with PREA Coordinator

**Standard 115.276 Disciplinary sanctions for staff**

- ☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- ☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- ☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Franklin County outlines its progressive disciplinary plan in its policy and procedure. A review of the policy states that any staff member found to have engaged in sexual abuse will be terminated. Termination or resignations by staff will not void an investigation and any criminal activity will be reported to the legal authority and to any relevant licensing agency. Policy also indicates that the agency will notify law enforcement or any relevant licensing boards of any terminations or resignations based upon violations of the agency’s resident sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention policy when such behavior is criminal in nature.

All staff interviewed understood that anyone engaging in sexual harassment will be disciplined according to agency policy and that they would be terminated for participating in sexual abuse. Staff indicated that they are required to report any suspicion to their immediate supervisor and that they would not have any issue reporting a coworker for violation of the zero tolerance policy.

The auditor reviewed agency policy, the employee handbook, and interviewed the Executive Director, PREA Coordinator, and Human Resource staff to confirm the disciplinary process for employees found to have substantially engaged in sexual harassment or sexual abuse against residents. All agency leadership stated that any employee found to have engaged in sexual abuse will be immediately terminated from the facility and law enforcement would be notified.

The facility did an administrative investigation into staff sexual misconduct during this audit cycle. The administrative investigation resulted in a determination of substantiated and the allegation was referred to the Franklin County Sheriff’s Office for a criminal investigation. The sheriff’s brought charges against the staff member who was subsequently found guilty. The staff member was terminated.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Employee handbook
Interview with Human Resource staff
Interview with Administrative Investigators
Interview with random staff members
Interview with PREA Coordinator
Interview with Senior Operations Manager

**Standard 115.277 Corrective action for contractors and volunteers**

- ☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- ☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

All contractors and volunteers are made aware of the agency’s zero tolerance policy toward sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Each must participate in PREA training where they will be taught how to prevent, detect, respond, and report sexual harassment and sexual abuse. They will also learn the consequences of participating in any type of sexual misconduct. Contractors and volunteers sign an agreement that they could be removed from the facility for any acts of sexual abuse or sexual harassment.

The facility has not removed any contractor or volunteer for a PREA issue.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Contractor/volunteer sign-in sheet
Contractor/volunteer training curriculum
Interview with PREA Coordinator
Investigation reports

Standard 115.278 Disciplinary sanctions for residents

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

A review of the resident handbook shows how it outlines resident conduct and prohibits all sexual activity between residents and disciplines residents for such activity. Residents are given a handbook at intake and the contents are reviewed with the resident. Consensual sexual contact between residents is not considered a PREA violation.

During resident interviews, all residents affirmed that they received a handbook at intake and the rules and discipline policies regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment were reviewed with them. All residents interviewed understood fully the seriousness of the agency’s Zero Tolerance Policy and the consequences of participating in sexual misconduct.

Residents reporting allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment will not be disciplined if the report is made in good faith based upon reasonable belief that the alleged contact occurred regardless if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate an allegation.

The substantiated allegations involving resident on resident sexual harassment led to in-house disciplinary action.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Resident handbook
Interviews with residents
Interview with PREA Coordinator
Interview with Senior Operations Manager
Interview with Senior Program Manager
Investigation reports

**Standard 115.282 Access to emergency medical and mental health services**

- ☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- ☑ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- ☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy indicates the types of service offered free of charge to an alleged victim of sexual assault. It is documented which types of services were rendered and or declined by the alleged victim on the first responder form. Residents are offered timely information about and timely access to sexually transmitted infection prophylaxis and emergency contraception.

If services are necessary, the Clinical Manager will provide appropriate referrals to community resources and notify the case manager assigned to the resident. The scope of services provided will be determined by the licensed practitioner.

Staff have been notified of the facility’s plan on providing services after a sexual abuse/assault incident. The plan outlines how staff is to offer unimpeded access to both emergency and ongoing medical and mental health care. Ongoing medical or mental health care will be at the discretion of the medical provider and is again at no cost to the resident.

First Responder forms indicate if services were offered and accepted or declined.

Resident are informed of their right to free services during PREA education at orientation.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Coordinated Plan
Investigation reports
Interview with PREA Coordinator
Interview with Senior Operations Manager
Interview with Clinical Manager

**Standard 115.283 Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers**

- ☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- ☑ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- ☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

This facility offers community medical and counseling services for residents who have been sexually abused in jail, lockup, or juvenile
facility. This treatment includes testing for sexually transmitted disease. Treatment is offered to all known residents on resident abusers within in 60 days of learning such history. All treatment offered is free of charge.

Staff have been notified of the facility’s coordinated response plan. The plan outlines how staff is to offer unimpeded access to both emergency and ongoing medical and mental health care. Ongoing medical or mental health care will be at the discretion of the medical provider and is again at no cost to the resident.

The facility has not had a report of any known resident on resident abuser.

A review of the first responder form shows how staff indicates whether services were offered and accepted or declined. The PREA initial screening form indicates whether a resident has abused others while in a correctional setting. If a resident indicates that he has in fact abused another resident while in a corrections setting, the agency’s Clinical Manager will make a determination if additional treatment or referrals for community treatment is necessary.

The facility had not a report of a resident being sexually abused while in a jail, lockup, or juvenile facility.

The Clinical Manager has confirmed the process and practice of how staff will provide unimpeded access to necessary emergency and/or ongoing medical and mental health services.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Coordinated response plan
PREA initial assessments
Interview with Clinical Manager
Interview with Senior Operation Manager
Interview with PREA Coordinator

**Standard 115.286 Sexual abuse incident reviews**

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☑ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Franklin County has an agency policy on a review of all substantiated or unsubstantiated allegations of sexual abuse within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation. The review team includes administrative staff and any other employee deemed appropriate.

The team, per policy, considers whether a change in policy or practice will better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse; if the incident or allegation was motivated by race, ethnicity, gender identity, gang affiliation, or any other group dynamic; if any physical barriers in the area enabled the abuse; adequacy of staffing levels; and whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff.

Franklin County CBCF conducted SART reviews on allegations of sexual abuse during this audit cycle. The team reviewed the cases and made determinations on policy or practice changes when necessary. Interview with PREA Coordinator and Senior Operations Manager indicates that all executive approved recommendations will be implemented the facility will document implementation or the reason for not implementing.

A later interview with the Director reviewed the teams process for making changes when necessary after any allegation.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Sexual Abuse Case Review report
Interview with PREA Coordinator
Interview with Senior Operations Manager
Interview with Senior Program Manager
Interview with Director

Standard 115.287 Data collection

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Franklin County has an agency policy for data collection and statistical reporting of all necessary information in the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence. The auditor reviewed the most recent information collected by the agency and has confirmed that the agency collects the appropriate data on all allegations of sexual abuse and aggregates this information annually.

The facility’s PREA Coordinator collects the data and completes the Survey of Sexual Victimizations.

The agency has not received a request to supply the Department of Justice with this information.

Review:
Policy and procedure
SSV-4
Interview with PREA Coordinator

Standard 115.288 Data review for corrective action

☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The facility uses information collected in 115.287 to make improvements in how the agency prevents, detects, and responds to incidents of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The report compares the current year’s data with those of previous years, and includes the updates made from previous year’s reports. The information contained in the report is based on a calendar year and the report with this information can be found on the agency’s website.

The information in the report has been reviewed and approved by the Executive Director.

The information in the report does not contain any identifying information that would need to be redacted in order to protect the safety of an
individual or the facility.

Auditor verified that the reported was posted on the agency’s website (www.FCCBCF.org) and that the report contained all required information.

Review:
Policy and procedure
PREA annual report
Franklin County CBCF website
Interview with Executive Director
Interview with PREA Coordinator

**Standard 115.289 Data storage, publication, and destruction**

- ☐ Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- ☒ Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- ☐ Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

All data collected in sexual abuse cases are securely maintained by the PREA Coordinator for a minimum of 10 years. The PREA Coordinator confirmed the retention schedule.

The aggregated information from the facility was posted on its website.

There is no information in the report that would identify any individual or jeopardize the safety or security of the facility.

Review:
Policy and procedure
PREA annual report
Franklin County CBCF website
Interview with PREA Coordinator

**AUDITOR CERTIFICATION**

I certify that:

- ☒ The contents of this report are accurate to the best of my knowledge.
- ☒ No conflict of interest exists with respect to my ability to conduct an audit of the agency under review, and
- ☒ I have not included in the final report any personally identifiable information (PII) about any inmate or staff member, except where the names of administrative personnel are specifically requested in the report template.

Kayleen Murray ___________________________ October 15, 2017
Auditor Signature Date