

**“TRULY NON-VIOLENT” OFFENDERS ADMITTED TO THE OHIO
DEPARTMENT OF REHABILITATION AND CORRECTION IN 2010**

**Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction
Gary C Mohr, Director**

Bureau of Research and Evaluation

2010 Intake Study Conducted by:

**Jim Bates
Reeda Boyd
Ronnie Easter
Cynthia Gonzalez
Vicky Muncy
Bureau of Research and Evaluation
Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction**

**Report Prepared by:
Ronnie Easter, Correction Classification Specialist
Bureau of Research and Evaluation
Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction**

INTRODUCTION

This report is a summary of the characteristics of the inmate population defined as the **Truly Non-Violent (TNV)**. Offenders classified as truly non-violent have no violent current conviction or indictment offense, no prior felony conviction for a violent or sex offense, no gun time, and no weapon involvement in the current offense.¹ This summary is compiled from the 2010 Intake Study conducted by the Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction.

The specific content of this report includes the following information: (1) basic social and demographic characteristics; (2) prevalence of mental illness, abuse, and substance abuse; (3) current offense characteristics; (4) criminal history characteristics; and (5) the geographical distribution of TNV inmates across Ohio counties. The information is presented in most cases for the overall TNV population and for the TNV population by key counties.²

SOCIAL AND DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS

The Calendar Year 2010 had 23,191 commitments. The overall sample of the 2010 Intake Study includes 3,477 inmates. The truly non-violent consists of 967 offenders (or 27.8% of the entire 2010 Intake Study). The total number used in this sample of the TNV report was 470.

The average age at current commitment of the TNV offenders is 31.6 years and the median age at commitment is 29.0 years old. The average age for the TNV at first arrest is 20.5 years with the median age being 19.0 years old. Similar age characteristics exist by key counties.

(Table 1)

Age	Total TNV	Butler	Clermont	Cuyahoga	Franklin	Hamilton	Montgomery	Stark	Summit
Mean Age at Current Commitment	31.6	30.9	27.1	32.7	32.9	29.6	31.6	28.7	33.1
Mean Age at First Arrest	20.5	20.3	17.5	19.7	20.1	18.4	20.9	19.8	20.1
Median Age at Current Commitment	29.0	29.0	27.0	32.0	33.0	28.0	29.0	25.5	30.0
Median Age at First Arrest	19.0	18.0	16.0	18.0	19.0	17.0	19.0	18.0	19.0

Males comprise 72.8% of TNV inmates, while females comprise 27.2% of this sub-sample. For the eight highlighted counties between 52.3% and 83.3% of the TNV population are male.

(Table 2)

Gender	Total TNV	Butler	Clermont	Cuyahoga	Franklin	Hamilton	Montgomery	Stark	Summit
Female	27.2%	30.0%	30.4%	24.5%	21.2%	21.0%	47.7%	16.7%	19.6%
Male	72.8%	70.0%	69.6%	75.5%	78.8%	79.0%	52.3%	83.3%	80.4%

¹Bates, Jim, Rachael Gossett and Steve Van Dine. 2004. 2003 Intake Study. Columbus, OH: Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction.

²Key counties in this report are considered to be the top eight counties in terms of number of TNV commitments. They consist of Cuyahoga (n = 151), Hamilton (n = 100), Franklin (n = 52), Summit (n = 46), Montgomery (n = 44), Butler (n = 24), Stark (n = 24) and Clermont (n = 23) counties.

The overall racial composition of the TNV sample is 32.7% African American (which also included 0.2% of Hispanic-Black), 66.1% Caucasian (which also included 2.5% of Hispanic-white), 1.1% other (which also included 0.4% of Hispanic-Other). Looking at the county level data more closely, the racial composition of Cuyahoga and Hamilton counties have greater proportions who are African American, while in the other six counties the majority of TNV commitments are Caucasian.

(Table 3)

Race and Ethnicity	Total TNV	Butler	Clermont	Cuyahoga	Franklin	Hamilton	Montgomery	Stark	Summit
African American	32.7%	16.7%	13.0%	60.9%	42.3%	55.0%	47.7%	37.5%	37.0%
Asian	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%
White	66.1%	80.0%	87.0%	38.4%	53.8%	45.0%	52.3%	62.5%	60.9%
Other	1.1%	3.3%	0.0%	0.7%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%

The majority of TNV inmates (68.3%) are single at the time of arrest. Looking at the marital status by key counties shows some varying levels of married, divorced, separated or widowed, but the majority of individuals have never married.

(Table 4)

Marital Status	Total TNV	Butler	Clermont	Cuyahoga	Franklin	Hamilton	Montgomery	Stark	Summit
Single	68.3%	62.1%	73.9%	75.3%	69.2%	80.6%	74.4%	66.7%	78.3%
Married	10.3%	6.9%	4.3%	7.3%	13.5%	5.1%	4.7%	8.3%	4.3%
Separated	8.3%	3.4%	17.4%	4.7%	7.7%	9.2%	9.3%	16.7%	4.3%
Divorced	12.4%	27.6%	4.3%	12.7%	9.6%	4.1%	11.6%	8.3%	13.0%
Widowed	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Over two-fifths, 41.8%, of the TNV sample have graduated high school or received a GED as their highest educational attainment, while 34.9% never completed high school. Inmates have some college education and no degree 29.8% of the time, and 4.1% have a degree from a college institution (AA, BA/BS, MA/MS, Ph.D., law or medical degree). Of those in the TNV report, Clermont (47.4%) Montgomery (45.2%) and Butler (44.8%) counties have the highest percentages that have graduated high school or received their high school equivalency.

(Table 5)

Education	Total TNV	Butler	Clermont	Cuyahoga	Franklin	Hamilton	Montgomery	Stark	Summit
No High School	5.0%	6.9%	0.0%	3.5%	2.2%	6.9%	2.4%	0.0%	2.2%
Some High School	29.8%	27.6%	36.8%	37.5%	37.8%	31.0%	28.6%	39.1%	31.1%
High School or GED	41.8%	44.8%	47.4%	36.1%	31.1%	39.1%	45.2%	34.8%	40.0%
Some College	19.2%	17.2%	15.8%	19.4%	26.7%	17.2%	21.4%	26.1%	20.0%
College Degree	4.1%	3.4%	0.0%	3.5%	2.2%	5.7%	2.4%	0.0%	6.7%

Over half (57.2%) of TNV offenders are unemployed at the time of arrest; however, of the offenders that are employed, most are working on a full-time basis. Summit County has the greatest percentage of inmates that are working full-time status (27.3%), while Clermont County contains the highest percentage of unemployed offenders (89.5%).

(Table 6)

Employment Status	Total TNV	Butler	Clermont	Cuyahoga	Franklin	Hamilton	Montgomery	Stark	Summit
Unemployed & Chronic Unemployment	57.2%	73.3%	89.5%	61.0%	46.8%	54.2%	63.4%	66.7%	52.3%
Employed Part Time	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%	8.2%	10.6%	8.3%	9.8%	8.3%	11.4%
Employed Full Time	23.0%	23.3%	10.5%	19.9%	19.1%	19.8%	17.1%	20.8%	27.3%
Self Employed	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%	2.1%	2.1%	2.4%	0.0%	4.5%
Temporary Agency & Seasonal Work	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	2.1%	6.3%	0.0%	4.2%	0.0%
Work Under-the-Table	8.1%	3.3%	0.0%	6.2%	19.1%	9.4%	7.3%	0.0%	4.5%

PREVALENCE OF MENTAL ILLNESS, ABUSE, AND SUBSTANCE ABUSE

The percentage of individuals in the overall TNV population with mental health problems is 30.6%. Summit County has the lowest percentage of indicated mental illness with 19.6%. Clermont County has highest percentage of indicated mental illness with 47.8%.

(Table 7)

Mental Illness	Total TNV	Butler	Clermont	Cuyahoga	Franklin	Hamilton	Montgomery	Stark	Summit
None	69.4%	66.7%	52.2%	76.7%	76.5%	77.8%	59.1%	56.5%	80.4%
Self Admission or Evidence	3.1%	3.3%	13.0%	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%	0.0%	8.7%	2.2%
Diagnosed	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Treated	26.8%	30.0%	34.8%	20.7%	21.6%	20.2%	40.9%	34.8%	17.4%

Of the 967 TNV offenders, 15.0% reported being physically abused as a child or adolescent and 12.2% reported being sexually abused as a child or an adolescent. The majority of TNV inmates did not experience physical or sexual abuse growing up.

(Table 8)

Physical Abuse as Child or Adolescent	Total TNV	Butler	Clermont	Cuyahoga	Franklin	Hamilton	Montgomery	Stark	Summit
No	85.0%	76.7%	66.7%	90.7%	86.5%	88.9%	69.8%	79.2%	91.3%
Yes	15.0%	23.3%	33.3%	9.3%	13.5%	11.1%	30.2%	20.8%	8.7%
Sexual Abuse as Child or Adolescent	Total TNV	Butler	Clermont	Cuyahoga	Franklin	Hamilton	Montgomery	Stark	Summit
No	87.8%	83.3%	91.3%	88.6%	90.4%	87.9%	77.3%	91.3%	95.7%
Yes	12.2%	16.7%	8.7%	11.4%	9.6%	12.1%	22.7%	8.7%	4.3%

Drug abuse within the six months immediately before and including the day of arrest for the instant offense (i.e., a recent problem) is indicated for 84.5% of the total TNV sample. Past drug abuse (more than six months before the arrest for the instant offense) is characteristic for 91.2% of the truly non-violent. Treatment for past drug abuse is much more common than for recent drug abuse. County patterns for treatment vary.

(Table 9)

Recent Drug Abuse	Total TNV	Butler	Clermont	Cuyahoga	Franklin	Hamilton	Montgomery	Stark	Summit
No Indication	15.5%	20.0%	0.0%	10.7%	19.2%	12.2%	13.6%	16.7%	15.2%
Self Admt/Evidence	83.2%	76.7%	91.3%	88.7%	80.8%	87.8%	81.8%	83.3%	82.6%
Treatment	1.3%	3.3%	8.7%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	4.5%	0.0%	2.2%
Past Drug Abuse									
No Indication	8.8%	13.3%	4.3%	2.7%	7.8%	9.1%	9.3%	12.5%	11.1%
Self Admt/Evidence	55.6%	50.0%	69.6%	54.7%	60.8%	62.6%	48.8%	45.8%	55.6%
Treatment	35.7%	36.7%	26.1%	42.7%	31.4%	28.3%	41.9%	41.7%	33.3%

Recent alcohol abuse (within the six months immediately before and including the day of arrest for the instant offense) is noted for 41.8% of the TNV sub-sample, while past alcohol abuse is seen for 69.0%. Like drug abuse, treatment for alcohol abuse is more likely for past drinking behavior compared to more current drinking. Further, alcohol abuse is more common for the male TNVs, especially recent usage. While gender and alcohol abuse are not represented by a table, the percentage of recent alcohol abuse for males is 40.1% (as compared to 46.2% for females) and the percentage of past alcohol abuse for males is 68.1% (as compared to 71.4% for females).

(Table 10)

Recent Alcohol Abuse	Total TNV	Butler	Clermont	Cuyahoga	Franklin	Hamilton	Montgomery	Stark	Summit
No Indication	58.2%	60.0%	43.5%	45.0%	73.1%	68.7%	63.6%	58.3%	58.7%
Self Admt/Evidence	41.5%	40.0%	52.2%	55.0%	26.9%	31.3%	36.4%	41.7%	41.3%
Treatment Recently	0.3%	0.0%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Past Alcohol Abuse									
No Indication	31.0%	30.0%	13.0%	22.5%	38.5%	39.4%	45.5%	41.7%	31.1%
Self Admt/Evidence	44.3%	40.0%	56.5%	47.0%	46.2%	42.4%	29.5%	33.3%	46.7%
Treatment	24.6%	30.0%	30.4%	30.5%	15.4%	18.2%	25.0%	25.0%	22.2%

CURRENT OFFENSE CHARACTERISTICS

About two fifths (41.6%) of the total TNV have drug trafficking or drug possession as their most serious indictment offense. More specifically, 44.0% of the men and 35.0% of the women were indicted for drug trafficking or drug possession within the TNV sub-sample.

(Table 11)

Most Serious Indictment Offense

<u>Total TNV</u>		<u>Butler</u>		<u>Clermont</u>	
Trafficking In Drugs	21.3%	Trafficking In Drugs	20.0%	Grand Theft	34.8%
Drug Possession	20.3%	Grand Theft	20.0%	Drug Possession	21.7%
Grand Theft	17.1%	Nonsupport of Dependents	16.7%	Trafficking In Drugs	17.4%
Receiving Stolen Property	4.9%	Forgery	10.0%	Aggravated Vehicular Homicide	4.3%
Nonsupport of Dependents	3.8%	Drug Possession	10.0%	Breaking And Entering	4.3%
Forgery	3.1%	Failure To Appear	10.0%	Illegal Process of Drug Document	4.3%
<u>Cuyahoga</u>		<u>Franklin</u>		<u>Hamilton</u>	
Trafficking In Drugs	32.5%	Drug Possession	28.8%	Trafficking In Drugs	37.0%
Drug Possession	22.5%	Grand Theft	26.9%	Drug Possession	30.0%
Grand Theft	11.3%	Trafficking In Drugs	9.6%	Grand Theft	12.0%
Receiving Stolen Property	7.3%	Driving while Intoxicated	5.8%	Breaking And Entering	3.0%
Burglary	4.0%	Breaking And Entering	3.8%	Forgery	3.0%
Nonsupport of Dependents	2.6%	Receiving Stolen Property	3.8%	Receiving Stolen Property	2.0%
<u>Montgomery</u>		<u>Stark</u>		<u>Summit</u>	
Drug Possession	45.5%	Grand Theft	16.7%	Grand Theft	13.0%
Grand Theft	20.5%	Drug Possession	16.7%	Illegal Manufacture Of Drugs	13.0%
Forgery	11.4%	Failure to Comply	16.7%	Drug Possession	13.0%
Trafficking In Drugs	4.5%	Trafficking In Drugs	12.5%	Tampering with Evidence	10.9%
Vandalism	2.3%	Receiving Stolen Property	8.3%	Trafficking In Drugs	10.9%
Unauthorized Use of Vehicle	2.3%	Passing Bad Checks	8.3%	Driving while Intoxicated	6.5%

Drug possession and drug trafficking account for 41.7% of the overall TNV offenses. Over two-fifths of the TNV's (48.0%) are incarcerated on a drug-related offense as their most serious offense. Other common conviction offenses for the TNV (measured as the most serious conviction offense) include property crimes such as theft (16.3%), receiving stolen property (5.6%), Nonsupport of Dependents (3.8%) and illegal manufacture of drugs (3.1%).

(Table 12)

Most Serious Conviction Offense

<u>Total TNV</u>		<u>Butler</u>		<u>Clermont</u>	
Drug Possession	21.7%	Grand Theft	20.0%	Grand Theft	30.4%
Trafficking In Drugs	20.0%	Trafficking In Drugs	20.0%	Drug Possession	26.1%
Grand Theft	16.3%	Nonsupport of Dependents	16.7%	Trafficking In Drugs	8.7%
Receiving Stolen Property	5.6%	Drug Possession	10.0%	Aggravated Vehicular Homicide	4.3%
Nonsupport of Dependents	3.8%	Forgery	6.7%	Breaking And Entering	4.3%
Illegal Manufacture Of Drugs	3.1%	Receiving Stolen Property	6.7%	Forgery	4.3%
<u>Cuyahoga</u>		<u>Franklin</u>		<u>Hamilton</u>	
Trafficking In Drugs	29.1%	Drug Possession	32.7%	Trafficking In Drugs	39.0%
Drug Possession	24.5%	Grand Theft	17.3%	Drug Possession	29.0%
Grand Theft	12.6%	Receiving Stolen Property	11.5%	Grand Theft	12.0%
Receiving Stolen Property	7.3%	Breaking And Entering	7.7%	Breaking And Entering	4.0%
Burglary	4.0%	Trafficking In Drugs	7.7%	Forgery	2.0%
Nonsupport of Dependents	2.6%	Driving while Intoxicated	5.8%	Receiving Stolen Property	2.0%
<u>Montgomery</u>		<u>Stark</u>		<u>Summit</u>	
Drug Possession	40.9%	Grand Theft	20.8%	Illegal Manufacture Of Drugs	17.4%
Grand Theft	20.5%	Drug Possession	16.7%	Drug Possession	15.2%
Forgery	13.6%	Resisting Arrest	12.5%	Grand Theft	13.0%
Trafficking In Drugs	6.8%	Forgery	8.3%	Tampering with Evidence	10.9%
Vandalism	2.3%	Trafficking In Drugs	8.3%	Trafficking In Drugs	10.9%
Unauthorized Use of Vehicle	2.3%	Aggravated Vehicular Assault	4.2%	Driving while Intoxicated	6.5%

Approximately 34.5% of the TNV sample is incarcerated due to a violation of probation (technical violation or new crime) or a violation of parole/PRC (new crime). Montgomery County had the largest technical violation of probation at 29.5%. Butler County had the largest new crime probation violators at 23.3%. Cuyahoga, Butler and Hamilton Counties had the highest proportions, 71.5%, 70.0% and 66.0% respectively of TNV commitments who were not coming to prison as violators of some type.

(Table 13)

Parole or Probation Violator	Total TNV	Butler	Clermont	Cuyahoga	Franklin	Hamilton	Montgomery	Stark	Summit
Not a Violator	65.4%	70.0%	65.2%	71.5%	63.5%	66.0%	50.0%	54.2%	56.5%
Technical Violation – Probation	17.1%	6.7%	17.4%	9.9%	11.5%	10.0%	29.5%	25.0%	23.9%
New Crime – Technical Violation	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
New Crime – Probation	14.9%	23.3%	13.0%	17.2%	13.5%	21.0%	18.2%	20.8%	19.6%
New Crime – Parole	2.2%	0.0%	4.3%	0.0%	9.6%	3.0%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%

CRIMINAL HISTORY CHARACTERISTICS

Evidence of having had a juvenile record in the total TNV report is 38.5%. Clermont County leads the counties below with the highest percentage of 73.9% in reported juvenile records and Summit County has the lowest percentage of 23.9% in reported juvenile records. (However, juvenile records are erratically available.)

(Table 14)

Juvenile Record	Total TNV	Butler	Clermont	Cuyahoga	Franklin	Hamilton	Montgomery	Stark	Summit
No Record	61.5%	63.3%	26.1%	59.6%	55.8%	48.0%	65.9%	58.3%	76.1%
Record Expunged									
Unavailable	8.4%	0.0%	13.0%	7.9%	11.5%	4.0%	11.4%	8.3%	10.9%
Record Indicated	30.1%	36.7%	60.9%	32.5%	32.7%	48.0%	22.7%	33.3%	13.0%

Over three-fifths of the TNV inmates from Cuyahoga County have at least one prior felony conviction (65.6%). On the other hand, Stark County has the largest percentage of inmates with no previous felony conviction (79.2%).

(Table 15)

Total Prior Felony Convictions	Total TNV	Butler	Clermont	Cuyahoga	Franklin	Hamilton	Montgomery	Stark	Summit
None	53.1%	53.3%	65.2%	34.4%	36.5%	39.0%	40.9%	79.2%	50.0%
1 – 4	42.0%	40.0%	34.7%	53.0%	55.7%	56.0%	50.0%	16.7%	41.2%
5 – 9	4.5%	6.6%	0.0%	11.9%	7.6%	5.0%	9.1%	4.2%	6.5%
10 or more	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%

Approximately 77.1% of all TNV inmates have had at least one prior arrest within the past five years. Clermont County inmates were most likely to have been arrested in the past five years (87.0%). About 18.1% of all TNV inmates have had 5 to 9 arrests within the same time period. Only 6.6% of all TNV inmates have had ten or more arrests in the past five years. Clermont County at 26.1% leads the way with those having ten or more arrests while only 4.6% from Cuyahoga County has had ten or more arrests in the preceding five years.

(Table 16)

Number of Arrests in the Past Five Years	Total TNV	Butler	Clermont	Cuyahoga	Franklin	Hamilton	Montgomery	Stark	Summit
None	22.9%	13.3%	13.0%	21.2%	28.8%	16.0%	20.5%	25.0%	20.0%
1 – 4	52.4%	46.6%	34.8%	52.9%	46.2%	47.0%	56.9%	54.2%	57.8%
5 – 9	18.1%	33.3%	26.0%	21.0%	17.2%	22.0%	15.9%	8.4%	22.2%
10 or more	6.6%	6.7%	26.1%	4.6%	7.7%	15.0%	6.8%	12.5%	0.0%

About 68.7% of TNV offenders have no prior incarcerations in prison. Additionally, 29.0% have 1 to 4 prior incarcerations and 2.1% have 5 or more prior prison incarcerations. Cuyahoga County has the largest proportion of TNV's (45.0%) with at least one prior prison incarceration, while Stark County has the lowest proportion (16.7 %) with at least one prior prison incarceration.

(Table 17)

Prior Prison Incarcerations	Total TNV	Butler	Clermont	Cuyahoga	Franklin	Hamilton	Montgomery	Stark	Summit
None	68.7%	76.7%	82.6%	55.0%	61.5%	61.0%	61.4%	83.3%	67.4%
1 – 4	29.0%	16.6%	17.4%	41.7%	34.6%	38.0%	34.1%	12.6%	28.2%
5 – more	2.1%	6.7%	0.0%	3.4%	3.8%	1.0%	4.6%	4.2%	4.4%

Almost three fifths (58.1%) of all TNV inmates were free of criminal justice supervision at the time of their offense. About a third (34.5%) were on either probation or parole supervision.

(Table 18)

Supervision Status for Those with No Prior Prison	Total TNV	Butler	Clermont	Cuyahoga	Franklin	Hamilton	Montgomery	Stark	Summit
Free Of CJ System									
Supervision	58.1%	63.3%	47.8%	62.9%	51.9%	60.0%	38.6%	50.0%	47.8%
Active Arrest									
Warrant	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	1.9%	1.0%	9.1%	4.2%	6.5%
Released Own									
Recognizance Bond	5.5%	6.7%	17.4%	7.3%	9.6%	6.0%	4.5%	0.0%	4.3%
On Probation	32.5%	30.0%	34.8%	28.5%	28.8%	31.0%	45.5%	45.8%	41.3%
On Parole	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.7%	2.0%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
In Jail	0.1%	63.3%	47.8%	62.9%	51.9%	60.0%	38.6%	50.0%	47.8%

Prior jail incarcerations are characteristic of 48.4% of the total TNV inmates. Inmates with prior jail terms generally have 1 to 4 terms in a jail facility (40.9%).

(Table 19)

Prior Jail Incarcerations	Total TNV	Butler	Clermont	Cuyahoga	Franklin	Hamilton	Montgomery	Stark	Summit
None	51.6%	43.3%	34.8%	49.0%	51.9%	55.0%	52.3%	47.8%	52.2%
1 – 4	40.9%	43.3%	52.1%	46.4%	36.6%	38.0%	38.6%	34.7%	41.3%
5 – 9	6.3%	13.2%	13.0%	4.7%	3.8%	6.0%	4.6%	17.3%	6.5%
10 or more	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.7%	1.0%	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%

Approximately 69.7% of the TNV sub-sample has prior terms of adult supervision. Those having prior supervisions range from 62.5% in Stark County to 79.5% in Montgomery County.

(Table 20)

Prior Supervision Terms	Total TNV	Butler	Clermont	Cuyahoga	Franklin	Hamilton	Montgomery	Stark	Summit
None	30.3%	30.0%	21.7%	25.8%	21.2%	26.0%	20.5%	37.5%	23.9%
1 – 4	63.1%	60.0%	69.5%	63.5%	73.1%	67.0%	66.0%	62.5%	71.7%
5 – 9	6.2%	10.0%	8.6%	10.5%	5.7%	7.0%	13.6%	0.0%	4.4%
10 or more	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

An earlier revocation of adult supervision is characteristic of 44.8% of the TNV sub-sample. Montgomery County (59.1 %) admitted the greatest number of those with revocations; on the other hand, Hamilton County offenders (33.0%) had the least revocations.

(Table 21)

Supervision Revocations	Total TNV	Butler	Clermont	Cuyahoga	Franklin	Hamilton	Montgomery	Stark	Summit
None	55.2%	60.0%	52.2%	53.6%	53.8%	67.0%	40.9%	45.8%	54.3%
1 – 2	41.7%	36.7%	43.4%	42.4%	38.4%	33.0%	52.3%	54.2%	41.3%
3 or more	3.0%	3.3%	4.3%	4.0%	7.6%	0.0%	6.8%	0.0%	4.4%

GEOGRAPHICAL DISTRIBUTION OF TNV INMATES ACROSS OHIO COUNTIES

The top eight counties for population in terms of Ohio's TNV population (i.e., the counties presented in other sections of the report) are also the top eight counties for population in the overall 2010 Intake Study. Butler, Clermont, Cuyahoga, Franklin, Hamilton, Montgomery, Stark and Summit counties account for 46.2% of Ohio's truly non-violent population, while accounting for 54.2% of the 2010 Intake Study. Geographical distributions of the 2010 Truly Non-Violent and the 2010 Intake Study are presented below.

(Table 22)

County	Truly Non-Violent County TNV as a Portion of Total TNV		TNV by County	2010 Intake Study Overall Intake Study by County	
	N	%	%	N	%
Cuyahoga	151	15.6	22.8	661	19.0
Hamilton	100	10.3	31.1	322	9.3
Franklin	52	5.4	19.5	266	7.7
Summit	46	4.8	23.5	196	5.6
Montgomery	44	4.6	25.4	173	5.0
Butler	30	3.1	27.8	100	2.9
Stark	24	2.5	22.6	108	3.1
Clermont	23	2.4	23.0	58	1.7
Scioto	21	2.2	29.2	72	2.1
Lucas	20	2.1	28.2	72	2.1
Richland	20	2.1	29.9	59	1.7
Warren	20	2.1	30.8	45	1.3
Greene	18	1.9	30.5	56	1.6
Delaware	17	1.8	29.3	37	1.1
Clark	16	1.7	28.6	67	1.9
Mahoning	16	1.7	34.0	71	2.0
Athens	15	1.6	33.3	30	0.9
Allen	14	1.4	35.0	30	0.9
Lake	14	1.4	37.8	47	1.4
Medina	12	1.2	32.4	40	1.2
Licking	11	1.1	32.4	34	1.0
Lorain	11	1.1	34.4	65	1.9
Shelby	11	1.1	36.7	25	0.7
Trumbull	11	1.1	36.7	37	1.1
Highland	10	1.0	34.5	28	0.8
Erie	9	0.9	31.0	32	0.9
Miami	9	0.9	32.1	20	0.6
Portage	9	0.9	33.3	26	0.7
Ross	9	0.9	34.6	19	0.5
Wood	9	0.9	34.6	29	0.8
Lawrence	8	0.8	32.0	26	0.7
Pickaway	8	0.8	34.8	20	0.6
Gallia	7	0.7	33.3	9	0.3
Marion	7	0.7	35.0	27	0.8
Muskingum	7	0.7	35.0	29	0.8
Seneca	7	0.7	35.0	20	0.6
Washington	7	0.7	35.0	18	0.5
Champaign	6	0.6	31.6	15	0.4
Clinton	6	0.6	33.3	23	0.7
Columbiana	6	0.6	35.3	16	0.5
Fairfield	6	0.6	35.3	21	0.6
Hancock	6	0.6	37.5	16	0.5
Madison	6	0.6	37.5	9	0.3
Perry	6	0.6	37.5	10	0.3
Crawford	5	0.5	33.3	14	0.4

(Table 22 Continued)

County	Truly Non-Violent County TNV as a Portion of Total TNV		TNV by County	2010 Intake Study Overall Intake Study by County	
	N	%	%	N	%
Defiance	5	0.5	35.7	11	0.3
Fulton	5	0.5	35.7	20	0.6
Adams	4	0.4	28.6	12	0.3
Ashland	4	0.4	30.8	11	0.3
Ashtabula	4	0.4	30.8	14	0.4
Fayette	4	0.4	33.3	11	0.3
Huron	4	0.4	36.4	17	0.5
Knox	4	0.4	36.4	14	0.4
Meigs	4	0.4	36.4	8	0.2
Preble	4	0.4	36.4	8	0.2
Union	4	0.4	40.0	7	0.2
Coshocton	3	0.3	30.0	10	0.3
Guernsey	3	0.3	30.0	4	0.1
Holmes	3	0.3	30.0	5	0.1
Jackson	3	0.3	33.3	8	0.2
Morrow	3	0.3	33.3	10	0.3
Putnam	3	0.3	33.3	6	0.2
Van Wert	3	0.3	37.5	6	0.2
Auglaize	2	0.2	25.0	10	0.3
Brown	2	0.2	25.0	2	0.1
Carroll	2	0.2	28.6	9	0.3
Darke	2	0.2	28.6	13	0.4
Hardin	2	0.2	28.6	6	0.2
Hocking	2	0.2	33.3	7	0.2
Logan	2	0.2	33.3	5	0.1
Mercer	2	0.2	33.3	13	0.4
Sandusky	2	0.2	33.3	16	0.5
Wayne	2	0.2	40.0	11	0.3
Williams	2	0.2	40.0	2	0.1
Belmont	1	0.1	20.0	6	0.2
Geauga	1	0.1	20.0	4	0.1
Harrison	1	0.1	25.0	2	0.1
Henry	1	0.1	25.0	4	0.1
Noble	1	0.1	25.0	5	0.1
Paulding	1	0.1	25.0	4	0.1
Tuscarawas	1	0.1	25.0	7	0.2
Wyandot	1	0.1	25.0	7	0.2
Jefferson	0	0.0	0.0	5	0.1
Ottawa	0	0.0	0.0	4	0.1
Vinton	0	0.0	0.0	4	0.1
Monroe	0	0.0	0.0	3	0.1
Morgan	0	0.0	0.0	3	0.1
Pike	0	0.0	0.0	1	0.0
Total		N = 967		N = 3477	

(Table 23)

Comparison of TNV and Overall Intake Study		2010 TNV	2010 Intake Study
AGES	Mean age at commitment	31.6 years	32.6 years
	Mean age at first arrest	20.5 years	18.8 years
GENDER	Male	72.8%	86.4%
	Female	27.2%	13.6%
RACE AND ETHNICITY	African American (Includes Hispanic Black)	32.9%	41.7%
	Asian	0.1%	0.1%
	Caucasian (Includes Hispanic White)	68.6%	58.6%
	Other (Includes Hispanic-Other and Native American)	1.6%	2.0%
MARITAL STATUS	Never Married	68.3%	68.9%
	Married	10.3%	9.8%
EDUCATION	Did not finish high school	34.9%	37.7%
	High School/GED	61.0%	59.1%
	College Degree	4.1%	3.5%
EMPLOYMENT	Unemployed (Includes Chronic Unemployed)	57.2%	58.3%
	Employed full time	23.0%	20.3%
MENTAL ILLNESS	Treated/ Diagnosed/Admission or Evidence	30.6%	34.3%
PHYSICAL ABUSE	Yes (As a child or adolescent)	15.0%	14.1%
SEXUAL ABUSE	Yes (As a child or adolescent)	12.2%	10.0%
DRUG ABUSE	Recent (Within 6 months)	83.2%	77.5%
	Past (Beyond 6 months)	55.6%	90.6%
ALCOHOL ABUSE	Recent (Within 6 months)	41.5%	46.6%
	Past (Beyond 6 months)	44.3%	72.0%
PROB/PAROLE VIOLATOR	Tech Violator Probation	17.1%	9.9%
	New Crime Tech Violator	0.4%	0.1%
	New Crime Probation	14.9%	15.7%
	New Crime Parole	2.2%	6.4%
JUVENILE RECORD	Has a juvenile record	38.5%	50.1%
PRIOR FELONY	Has a prior felony	47.0%	62.0%
PRIOR PRISON INCARCERATION	Has a prior prison incarceration	31.3%	49.6%
PRIOR JAIL TERM	Has a prior jail term	48.4%	60.5%
PRIOR SUPERVISION	Had a prior supervision term	69.7%	75.5%
PRIOR REVOCATION	Had a prior revocation of supervision	44.8%	49.5%