

**“TRULY NON-VIOLENT” OFFENDERS ADMITTED TO THE OHIO  
DEPARTMENT OF REHABILITATION AND CORRECTION IN 2008**

**Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction  
Terry J. Collins, Director**

**Office of Policy and Offender Reentry  
Edward Rhine, Deputy Director**

**Bureau of Research**

**2008 Intake Study Conducted by:**

**Jim Bates  
Reeda Boyd  
Ronnie Easter  
Cynthia Gonzalez  
Vicky Muncy  
Bureau of Research, Office of Policy and Offender Reentry  
Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction**

**Report Conducted by:  
Jim Bates, Correction Classification Specialist  
Ronnie Easter, Correction Classification Specialist  
Bureau of Research, Office of Policy and Offender Reentry  
Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction**

## INTRODUCTION

This report is a summary of the characteristics of the inmate population defined as the **Truly Non-Violent (TNV)**. Offenders classified as truly non-violent have no violent current conviction or indictment offense, no prior felony conviction for a violent or sex offense, no gun time, and no weapon involvement in the current offense.<sup>1</sup> This summary is compiled from the 2008 Intake Study conducted by the Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction.

The specific content of this report includes the following information: (1) basic social and demographic characteristics; (2) prevalence of mental illness, abuse, and substance abuse; (3) current offense characteristics; (4) criminal history characteristics; and (5) the geographical distribution of TNV inmates across Ohio counties. The information is presented in most cases for the overall TNV population and for the TNV population by key counties.<sup>2</sup>

### SOCIAL AND DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS

The overall sample of the 2008 Intake Study includes 3,254 inmates. Of this population, the truly non-violent consists of 1,038 offenders (or 31.9 % of the entire 2008 Intake Study).

The average age at current commitment of the TNV offenders is 32.3 years and the median age at commitment is 30.0 years old. The average age at first arrest for the TNV is 20.4 years with the median age being 18 years old. Similar age characteristics exist by key counties.

(Table 1)

Age	Total TNV	Butler	Cuyahoga	Franklin	Hamilton	Lucas	Montgomery	Stark	Summit
Mean Age at Current Commitment	32.3	34.0	33.1	35.2	31.1	35.7	33.0	30.1	33.8
Mean Age at First Arrest	20.4	21.4	19.5	21.9	18.9	19.6	20.4	20.3	22.7

Males comprise 75.1% of TNV inmates, while females comprise 24.9% of this sub-sample. For the eight highlighted counties between 63.3% and 96.8% of the TNV population by key county are male.

(Table 2)

Gender	Total TNV	Butler	Cuyahoga	Franklin	Hamilton	Lucas	Montgomery	Stark	Summit
Female	24.9%	11.3%	23.8%	32.8%	16.3%	3.2%	29.1%	23.7%	36.7%
Male	75.1%	88.7%	76.2%	67.2%	83.7%	96.8%	70.9%	76.3%	63.3%

<sup>1</sup>Bates, Jim, Rachael Gossett and Steve Van Dine. 2004. *2003 Intake Study*. Columbus, OH: Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction.

<sup>2</sup>Key counties in this report are considered to be the top eight counties in terms of TNV population. They consist of Cuyahoga (n = 192), Hamilton (n = 170), Franklin (n = 60), Summit (n = 58), Montgomery (n = 56), Butler (n = 44), Stark (n = 36), and Lucas (n = 22) counties.

The overall racial composition of the TNV sample is 40.4 % of African American, 57.9% Caucasian, 1.3% Hispanic White, 0.3% Other (which also includes Asian and Native Americans), and 0.1% Hispanic Black. Looking at the county level data more closely, the racial composition of Cuyahoga and Hamilton counties have great proportions who are African American, while in five counties the majority of TNV commitments are Caucasian. African Americans have the highest numbers in Cuyahoga, Hamilton and Lucas counties, while Caucasians have the highest numbers in Butler, Franklin, Montgomery, Stark and Summit counties.

(Table 3)

Race and Ethnicity	Total TNV	Butler	Cuyahoga	Franklin	Hamilton	Lucas	Montgomery	Stark	Summit
African American	40.4%	39.6%	73.5%	44.3%	64.2%	48.4%	49.1%	44.7%	23.3%
Hispanic White	1.3%	5.7%	2.1%	0.0%	2.4%	6.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other	0.3%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
White	57.9%	52.8%	23.8%	55.7%	33.3%	45.2%	50.9%	55.3%	76.7%
Hispanic Black	0.1%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0 %	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

The majority of TNV inmates (68.9%) are single at the time of arrest. Looking at the marital status by key counties shows some varying levels of married, divorced, separated or widowed, but the majority of individuals have never married.

(Table 4)

Marital Status	Total TNV	Butler	Cuyahoga	Franklin	Hamilton	Lucas	Montgomery	Stark	Summit
Single	68.9%	60.4 %	72.5%	60.0%	79.5%	53.3%	80.0%	73.7%	73.3%
Married	9.6%	11.3%	10.1%	10.0%	6.6%	6.7%	3.6%	7.9%	3.3%
Separated	7.6%	5.7%	7.9%	16.7%	4.9%	6.7%	3.6%	15.8%	3.3%
Divorced	13.1%	22.6%	9.0%	8.3%	8.2%	33.3%	12.7%	2.6%	20.0%
Widowed	0.9%	0.0%	0.5%	5.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Over four in ten, 41.3%, of the TNV sample have graduated high school or received a GED as their highest educational attainment, while 38.4% never completed high school. Inmates have some college education 15.6% of the time and 4.6% have a degree from a college institution (AA, BA/BS, MA/MS, Ph.D., law or medical degree). Of those in the TNV report Montgomery (68.1 %), Lucas (65.5%), and Butler (64.8 %) counties have the highest percentages that have graduated high school or received their high school equivalency.

(Table 5)

Education	Total TNV	Butler	Cuyahoga	Franklin	Hamilton	Lucas	Montgomery	Stark	Summit
No High School	5.9%	7.8%	4.9%	8.6%	12.7%	3.5%	8.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Some High School	32.5%	27.5%	43.8%	32.8%	30.0%	31.0%	23.4%	44.7%	43.3%
High School or GED	41.3%	41.2%	33.0%	34.5%	33.6%	51.7%	51.1%	39.5%	30.0%
Some College	15.6%	21.6%	16.8%	13.8%	21.8%	13.8%	8.5%	10.5%	16.7%
College Degree	4.6%	2.0%	1.6%	10.3%	1.8%	0.0%	8.5%	5.3%	10.0%

Almost half of TNV offenders are unemployed at the time of arrest; however, of the offenders that are employed, most are working on a full-time basis. Stark County has the greatest percentage of inmates that are working full-time status (39.5%), while Montgomery County contains the highest percentage of unemployed offenders (58.8%).

(Table 6)

Employment Status	Total TNV	Butler	Cuyahoga	Franklin	Hamilton	Lucas	Montgomery	Stark	Summit
Unemployed	47.8%	50.0%	47.6%	32.8%	40.2%	55.2%	58.8%	44.7%	37.9%
Employed Part Time	7.6%	4.0%	8.1%	6.9%	12.8%	6.9%	3.9%	2.6%	20.7%
Employed Full Time	28.0%	28.0%	27.6%	25.9%	26.5%	20.7%	15.7%	39.5%	31.0%
Self Employed	3.3%	6.0%	1.1%	6.9%	2.6%	3.4%	3.9%	0.0%	3.4%
Temporary Agency & Seasonal Work	3.9%	2.0%	5.9%	15.5%	7.7%	3.4%	3.9%	7.9%	6.9%
Work Under-the- Table	0.8%	10.0%	9.7%	12.1%	10.3%	10.3%	13.7%	5.3%	0.0%

### PREVALENCE OF MENTAL ILLNESS, ABUSE, AND SUBSTANCE ABUSE

The percentage of individuals in the overall TNV population with mental health problems is 26.9%. Butler County has the lowest percentage of indicated mental illness with 17%. Franklin County has highest percentage of indicated mental illness with 29.5%.

(Table 7)

Mental Illness	Total TNV	Butler	Cuyahoga	Franklin	Hamilton	Lucas	Montgomery	Stark	Summit
None	73.1%	83.0%	73.0%	70.5%	77.7%	76.7%	80.0%	76.3%	80.0%
Self Admission or Evidence	3.2%	1.9%	3.7%	4.9%	1.7%	0.0%	1.8%	7.9%	3.3%
Diagnosed	0.8%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Treated	22.9%	15.1%	22.8%	24.6%	20.7%	20.0%	18.2%	15.8%	16.7%

Of the 1,038 TNV offenders, 10.8% were physically abused as a child or adolescent and 10.1% were sexually abused as a child or an adolescent. Although the majority of TNV inmates did not experience physical or sexual abuse growing up, the prevalence of victimization is clearly patterned by gender. The prevalence of physical abuse for female TNV offenders is 26.0% (as compared to 5.7% for males) and the prevalence of sexual abuse for females is 30.2% (as compared to 3.6% for males).

(Table 8)

Physical Abuse as Child or Adolescent	Total TNV	Butler	Cuyahoga	Franklin	Hamilton	Lucas	Montgomery	Stark	Summit
No	89.2%	94.2%	89.9%	87.9%	88.4%	96.8%	84.6%	86.8%	83.3%
Yes	10.8%	5.8%	10.1%	12.1%	11.6%	3.2%	15.4%	13.2%	16.7%
Sexual Abuse as Child or Adolescent	Total TNV	Butler	Cuyahoga	Franklin	Hamilton	Lucas	Montgomery	Stark	Summit
No	89.9%	92.5%	91.5%	83.6%	88.4%	96.8%	88.9%	86.8%	89.7%
Yes	10.1%	7.5%	8.5%	16.4%	11.6%	3.2%	11.1%	13.2%	10.3%

Drug abuse within the six months immediately before and including the day of arrest for the instant offense (i.e., a recent problem) is indicated for 85.2% of the total TNV sample. Past drug abuse (more than six months before the arrest for the instant offense) is characteristic for 89.9% of the truly non-violent. Treatment for past drug abuse is much more common than for recent drug abuse. County patterns for treatment vary.

(Table 9)

<b>Recent Drug Abuse</b>	<b>Total TNV</b>	<b>Butler</b>	<b>Cuyahoga</b>	<b>Franklin</b>	<b>Hamilton</b>	<b>Lucas</b>	<b>Montgomery</b>	<b>Stark</b>	<b>Summit</b>
No Indication	14.7%	15.4%	8.0%	23.0%	14.0%	20.0%	11.3%	13.2%	27.6%
Self Admission or Evidence	83.0%	84.6%	90.4%	73.8%	85.1%	80.0%	88.7%	84.2%	69.0%
Treatment	2.2%	0.0%	1.6%	3.3%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%	3.4%
<b>Past Drug Abuse</b>									
No Indication	10.0%	15.4%	5.3%	13.1%	10.0%	19.4%	3.8%	13.2%	10.3%
Self Admission or Evidence	59.4%	63.5%	55.9%	55.7%	74.2%	45.2%	49.1%	52.6%	58.6%
Diagnosis	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Treatment	30.3%	21.2%	38.8%	31.1%	15.8%	32.3%	47.2%	34.2%	31.0%

Recent alcohol abuse (within the six months immediately before and including the day of arrest for the instant offense) is noted for 41.3 % of the TNV sub-sample, while past alcohol abuse is seen for 46.5 %. Like drug abuse, treatment for alcohol abuse is more likely for past drinking behavior compared to more current drinking. Further, alcohol abuse is more common for the male TNVs, especially recent usage. The percentage of recent alcohol abuse for males is 44.3% (as compared to 37.4% for females) and the percentage of past alcohol abuse for males is 67.5% (as compared to 59.1% for females).

(Table 10)

<b>Recent Alcohol Abuse</b>	<b>Total TNV</b>	<b>Butler</b>	<b>Cuyahoga</b>	<b>Franklin</b>	<b>Hamilton</b>	<b>Lucas</b>	<b>Montgomery</b>	<b>Stark</b>	<b>Summit</b>
No Indication	57.4%	58.8%	42.8%	62.3%	66.9%	53.3%	64.8%	57.9%	46.7%
Self Admission or Evidence	41.3%	41.2%	57.2%	34.4%	32.2%	46.7%	35.2%	42.1%	50.0%
Treatment	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	3.3%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.3%
<b>Past Alcohol Abuse</b>									
No Indication	34.6%	25.0%	31.9%	37.7%	39.7%	22.6%	38.9%	26.3%	33.3%
Self Admission or Evidence	46.5%	65.4%	44.7%	47.5%	47.9%	58.1%	38.9%	63.2%	46.7%
Diagnosis Of Problem	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Treatment	18.8%	0.0%	23.4%	14.8%	12.4%	16.1%	22.2%	10.5%	20.0%

## CURRENT OFFENSE CHARACTERISTICS

Nearly half of the truly non-violent (48.8%) have a drug-related charge as their most serious indictment offense. More specifically, 50.4% of the men and 44.2% of the women were indicted on drug-related charges within the TNV sub-sample.

(Table 11)

**Most Serious Indictment Offense**

<u>Total TNV</u>		<u>Butler</u>		<u>Cuyahoga</u>	
Drug Possession	24.8 %	Drug Possession	41.5 %	Trafficking in Drugs	36.0 %
Trafficking in Drugs	19.8 %	Trafficking in Drugs	15.1 %	Drug Possession	27.5 %
Theft	14.5 %	Nonsupport of Dependents	9.4 %	Theft	8.5 %
Receiving Stolen Property	7.1 %	<b>Breaking and Entering</b>	7.5 %	Receiving Stolen Property	5.3 %
Forgery	4.7 %	Theft	7.5 %	Failure To Comply	3.2 %
Nonsupport of Dependents	4.5 %	Forgery	3.8 %	Tampering with Evidence	2.6 %
<u>Franklin</u>		<u>Hamilton</u>		<u>Lucas</u>	
Drug Possession	24.6 %	Trafficking in Drugs	33.3 %	Drug Possession	29.0 %
Theft	19.7 %	Drug Possession	28.5 %	Trafficking in Drugs	25.8 %
Receiving Stolen Property	11.5 %	Theft	11.4 %	Theft	12.9 %
Forgery	8.2 %	Failure To Comply	4.1 %	Nonsupport of Dependents	12.9 %
Trafficking in Drugs	4.9 %	Forgery	3.3 %	Receiving Stolen Property	6.5 %
Taking The Identity Of Another	4.9 %	Nonsupport of Dependents	3.3 %	Telecommunications Fraud	6.5 %
<u>Montgomery</u>		<u>Stark</u>		<u>Summit</u>	
Drug Possession	40.0 %	Drug Possession	31.6 %	Drug Possession Theft	20.0 %
Theft	9.1 %	Theft	13.2 %	Trafficking in Drugs	10.0 %
Nonsupport of Dependents	9.1 %	Trafficking in Drugs	13.2 %	Forgery	10.0 %
Receiving Stolen Property	9.1 %	Forgery	10.5 %	Receiving Stolen Property	10.0 %
Trafficking in Drugs	5.5 %	Receiving Stolen Property	7.9 %	Illegal Manufacture Of Drugs	10.0 %
Tampering with Evidence	5.5 %	Illegal Manufacture Of Drugs	7.9 %	Illegal Possession Chemicals For MFG Drugs	10.0 %

Nearly half of the overall TNV 46.6% are incarcerated on a drug-related offense as their most serious offense. Other common conviction offenses for the TNV (measured as the most serious conviction offense) include property crimes, such as theft (13.7%), receiving stolen property (7.4%) and breaking and entering (3.2%). Additionally, nonsupport of dependents is the most serious conviction offense for 4.5% of the TNV.

(Table 12)

**Most Serious Conviction Offense**

<u>Total TNV</u>		<u>Butler</u>		<u>Cuyahoga</u>	
Drug Possession	26.4 %	Drug Possession	43.4 %	Trafficking in Drugs	35.4 %
Trafficking in Drugs	18.2 %	Trafficking in Drugs	17.0 %	Drug Possession	29.1 %
Theft	13.7 %	Nonsupport of Dependents	9.4 %	Theft	6.9 %
Receiving Stolen Property	7.4 %	Breaking and Entering	7.5 %	Receiving Stolen Property	4.2 %
Forgery	5.4 %	Receiving Stolen Property	5.7 %	Forgery	3.2 %
Nonsupport of Dependents	4.5 %	Theft	3.8 %	Resist Arrest/Fail To Comply	2.6 %
Breaking and Entering	3.2%				

  

<u>Franklin</u>		<u>Hamilton</u>		<u>Lucas</u>	
Drug Possession	23.0 %	Drug Possession	30.1 %	Drug Possession	48.4 %
Theft	21.3 %	Trafficking in Drugs	30.1 %	Theft	19.4 %
Receiving Stolen Property	11.5 %	Theft	12.2 %	Nonsupport of Dependents	12.9 %
Forgery	8.2 %	Forgery	4.9 %	Trafficking in Drugs	6.5 %
Violation Own Recognizance	6.6 %	Receiving Stolen Property	3.3 %	Receiving Stolen Property	6.5 %
Taking The Identity Of Another	4.9 %	Resist Arrest/Fail To Comply	3.3 %	Resist Arrest/Fail To Comply	3.2 %

  

<u>Montgomery</u>		<u>Stark</u>		<u>Summit</u>	
Drug Possession	41.8 %	Drug Possession	31.6 %	Illegal Manufacture Of Drugs	20.0 %
Receiving Stolen Property	10.9 %	Theft	13.2 %	Drug Possession	16.7 %
Nonsupport of Dependents	9.1 %	Trafficking in Drugs	13.2 %	Forgery	13.3 %
Theft	7.3 %	Receiving Stolen Property	7.9 %	Trafficking in Drugs	10.0 %
Forgery	7.3 %	Forgery	7.9 %	Receiving Stolen Property	10.0 %
Trafficking in Drugs	3.6 %	Illegal Manufacture Of Drugs	7.9 %	Aggravated Vehicular Assault	6.7 %

Approximately 43.5% of the TNV sample is incarcerated due to a violation of probation (technical violation or new crime) or a violation of parole/PRC (new crime). Hamilton County had the largest technical violation of probation at 26.2%. Montgomery County had the largest new crime probation violators at 27.3% and Lucas County had the largest new crime parole violators at 6.5%.

(Table 13)

<b>Parole or Probation Violator</b>	<b>Total TNV</b>	<b>Butler</b>	<b>Cuyahoga</b>	<b>Franklin</b>	<b>Hamilton</b>	<b>Lucas</b>	<b>Montgomery</b>	<b>Stark</b>	<b>Summit</b>
Not a Violator	56.5%	71.7%	53.4%	65.6%	48.4%	48.4%	49.1%	68.4%	63.3%
Technical Violation – Probation	23.4%	17.0%	25.9%	11.5%	26.2%	19.4%	21.8%	10.5%	20.0%
New Crime – Probation	17.8%	9.4%	16.9%	21.3%	24.6%	25.8%	27.3%	18.4%	13.3%
New Crime – Parole	2.3%	1.9%	3.7%	1.6%	0.8%	6.5%	1.8%	2.6%	3.3%

## CRIMINAL HISTORY CHARACTERISTICS

Evidence of having had a juvenile record in the total TNV report is 40.3%. Hamilton county leads all counties with the highest percentage of 48.0% in reported juvenile records and Summit County leads all counties with the lowest percentage of 19.7% in reported juvenile records.

(Table 14)

<b>Juvenile Record</b>	<b>Total TNV</b>	<b>Butler</b>	<b>Cuyahoga</b>	<b>Franklin</b>	<b>Hamilton</b>	<b>Lucas</b>	<b>Montgomery</b>	<b>Stark</b>	<b>Summit</b>
No Record	59.7%	54.7%	56.1%	62.3%	52.0%	61.3%	60.0%	71.1%	70.0%
Record Expunged Unavailable	9.4%	13.2%	4.8%	13.1%	6.5%	0.0%	10.9%	2.6%	13.3%
Record Indicated	30.8%	32.1%	39.2%	24.6%	41.5%	38.7%	29.1%	26.3%	19.7%

Four-fifths of the TNV inmates from Montgomery County have at least one prior felony conviction (80%). On the other hand, Stark County has the largest percentage of inmates with no previous felony conviction (57.9%).

(Table 15)

<b>Total Prior Felony Convictions</b>	<b>Total TNV</b>	<b>Butler</b>	<b>Cuyahoga</b>	<b>Franklin</b>	<b>Hamilton</b>	<b>Lucas</b>	<b>Montgomery</b>	<b>Stark</b>	<b>Summit</b>
None	49.4%	49.1%	38.1%	50.8%	35.8%	45.2%	20.0%	57.9%	56.7%
1 – 4	43.8%	39.6%	50.8%	41.0%	58.5%	51.6%	63.6%	36.8%	36.7%
5 – 9	6.1%	11.3%	10.6%	6.6%	5.7%	3.2%	14.5%	5.3%	3.3%
10 or more	0.7%	0.0%	0.5%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%	3.3%

Approximately 82.4% of all TNV inmates have had at least one arrest within the past five years. Montgomery County inmates were most likely to have been arrested in the past five years (89.1%). About 20.7% of all TNV inmates have had 5 to 9 arrests within the same time period. Only 8.5% of all TNV inmates have had ten or more arrests in the past five years. Butler county at 18.9% leads the way with those having ten or more arrests while only 2.6% from Stark County have had ten or more arrests in the preceding five years.

(Table 16)

<b>Number of Arrests in the Past Five Years</b>	<b>Total TNV</b>	<b>Butler</b>	<b>Cuyahoga</b>	<b>Franklin</b>	<b>Hamilton</b>	<b>Lucas</b>	<b>Montgomery</b>	<b>Stark</b>	<b>Summit</b>
None	17.6%	22.6%	11.6%	19.7%	13.0%	16.1%	10.9%	21.1%	16.7%
1 – 4	53.1%	34.0%	49.2%	50.8%	47.2%	51.6%	58.2%	57.9%	60.0%
5 – 9	20.7%	24.5%	28.6%	24.6%	24.4%	19.4%	14.5%	18.4%	20.0%
10 or more	8.5%	18.9%	10.6%	4.9%	15.4%	12.9%	16.4%	2.6%	3.3%

About 66.8% of TNV offenders have no prior incarcerations in prison. Additionally, 30.0% have 1 to 4 prior incarcerations and 3.3% have 5 or more prior prison incarcerations. Cuyahoga County has the largest proportion of TNV's (46.1%) with at least one prior prison incarceration, while Summit County has the lowest proportion (16.7 %) with at least one prior prison incarceration.

(Table 17)

<b>Prior Prison Incarcerations</b>	<b>Total TNV</b>	<b>Butler</b>	<b>Cuyahoga</b>	<b>Franklin</b>	<b>Hamilton</b>	<b>Lucas</b>	<b>Montgomery</b>	<b>Stark</b>	<b>Summit</b>
None	66.8%	58.5%	54.0%	65.6%	58.5%	64.5%	50.9%	71.1%	83.3%
1 – 4	30.0%	35.8%	41.3%	29.5%	41.5%	35.5%	38.2%	26.3%	10.0%
5 – more	3.3%	5.7%	4.8%	4.9%	0.0%	0.0%	10.9%	2.6%	6.7%

Prior jail incarcerations are characteristic of 49.3% of the total TNV inmates. The majority of inmates with prior jail terms generally have 1 to 4 terms in a jail facility (41.2%).

(Table 18)

<b>Prior Jail Incarcerations</b>	<b>Total TNV</b>	<b>Butler</b>	<b>Cuyahoga</b>	<b>Franklin</b>	<b>Hamilton</b>	<b>Lucas</b>	<b>Montgomery</b>	<b>Stark</b>	<b>Summit</b>
None	50.7%	45.3%	51.3%	32.8%	43.1%	38.7%	43.6%	52.6%	60.0%
1 – 4	41.2%	49.1%	40.2%	52.5%	46.3%	45.2%	36.4%	36.8%	40.0%
5 – 9	6.5%	5.7%	6.9%	9.8%	6.5%	16.1%	14.6%	10.5%	0.0%
10 or more	1.6%	0.0%	1.6%	4.9%	4.1%	0.0%	5.5%	0.0%	0.0%

Approximately 72.9 % of the TNV sub-sample has prior terms of adult supervision. Those having prior supervisions range from 56.7% in Summit County to 89.9% in Montgomery County.

(Table 19)

<b>Prior Supervision Terms</b>	<b>Total TNV</b>	<b>Butler</b>	<b>Cuyahoga</b>	<b>Franklin</b>	<b>Hamilton</b>	<b>Lucas</b>	<b>Montgomery</b>	<b>Stark</b>	<b>Summit</b>
None	27.1%	39.6%	22.8%	24.6%	16.3%	16.1%	9.1%	42.1%	43.3%
1 – 4	67.5%	54.7%	69.3%	70.5%	78.0%	77.4%	76.4%	57.9%	56.7%
5 – 9	5.1%	5.7%	7.9%	4.9%	5.7%	6.5%	14.5%	0.0%	0.0%
10 or more	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Revocation of adult supervision is characteristic of 53.8 % of the TNV sub-sample. Montgomery County (74.5 %) admitted the greatest number of those with revocations; on the other hand, Summit County (40.0%) has the least revocations.

(Table 20)

<b>Supervision Revocations</b>	<b>Total TNV</b>	<b>Butler</b>	<b>Cuyahoga</b>	<b>Franklin</b>	<b>Hamilton</b>	<b>Lucas</b>	<b>Montgomery</b>	<b>Stark</b>	<b>Summit</b>
None	46.2%	58.5%	40.7%	39.3%	46.3%	38.7%	25.5%	50.0%	60.0%
1 – 2	50.2%	39.6%	52.9%	55.7%	53.7%	61.3%	60.0%	44.7%	40.0%
3 or more	3.6%	1.9 %	6.3%	4.9%	0.0%	0.0%	14.5%	5.3%	0.0%

## GEOGRAPHICAL DISTRIBUTION OF TNV INMATES ACROSS OHIO COUNTIES

The top eight counties for population in terms of Ohio's TNV population (i.e., the counties presented in other sections of the report) are also the top eight counties for population in the overall 2008 Intake Study. Butler, Cuyahoga, Franklin, Hamilton, Lucas, Montgomery, Stark and Summit counties account for 55.9% of Ohio's truly non-violent population, while accounting for 60.0% of the 2008 Intake Study. Geographical distributions of the 2008 Truly Non-Violent and the 2008 Intake Study are presented below.

(Table 21)

County	Truly Non-Violent County TNV as a Portion of Total TNV		TNV by County %	2008 Intake Study Overall Intake Study by County	
	N	%		N	%
Cuyahoga	189	18.2%	30.2%	625	19.2%
Hamilton	123	11.8%	34.3%	359	11.0%
Franklin	61	5.9%	21.7%	281	8.6%
Montgomery	55	5.3%	28.8%	191	5.9%
Butler	53	5.1%	44.5%	119	3.7%
Stark	38	3.7%	29.9%	127	3.9%
Lucas	31	3.0%	24.8%	125	3.8%
Summit	30	2.9%	23.8%	126	3.9%
Mahoning	28	2.7%	38.9%	72	2.2%
Clark	19	1.8%	29.2%	65	2.0%
Richland	18	1.7%	45.0%	40	1.2%
Scioto	18	1.7%	34.0%	53	1.6%
Clermont	17	1.6%	45.9%	37	1.1%
Lake	16	1.5%	40.0%	40	1.2%
Greene	15	1.4%	31.9%	47	1.4%
Licking	14	1.3%	43.8%	32	1.0%
Muskingum	14	1.3%	40.0%	35	1.1%
Erie	13	1.3%	41.9%	31	1.0%
Warren	11	1.1%	44.0%	25	0.8%
Wood	11	1.1%	47.8%	23	0.7%
Crawford	10	1.0%	58.8%	17	0.5%
Lawrence	10	1.0%	35.7%	28	0.9%
Lorain	10	1.0%	16.7%	60	1.8%
Auglaize	9	0.9%	50.0%	18	0.6%
Marion	9	0.9%	34.6%	26	0.8%
Medina	9	0.9%	34.6%	26	0.8%
Pickaway	9	0.9%	33.3%	27	0.8%
Washington	9	0.9%	56.3%	16	0.5%
Athens	8	0.8%	42.1%	19	0.6%
Delaware	8	0.8%	24.2%	33	1.0%
Hancock	8	0.8%	72.7%	11	0.3%
Miami	8	0.8%	29.6%	27	0.8%
Shelby	8	0.8%	44.4%	18	0.6%
Defiance	7	0.7%	31.8%	22	0.7%
Portage	7	0.7%	58.3%	12	0.4%
Fayette	6	0.6%	50.0%	12	0.4%
Highland	6	0.6%	40.0%	15	0.5%
Fairfield	5	0.5%	45.5%	11	0.3%
Hocking	5	0.5%	50.0%	10	0.3%
Jefferson	5	0.5%	27.8%	18	0.6%
Knox	5	0.5%	35.7%	14	0.4%
Logan	5	0.5%	31.3%	16	0.5%
Preble	5	0.5%	45.5%	11	0.3%
Trumbull	5	0.5%	17.2%	29	0.9%
Allen	4	0.4%	16.0%	25	0.8%

(Table 21 Continued)

County	Truly Non-Violent County TNV as a Portion of Total TNV			TNV by County		2008 Intake Study Overall Intake Study by County		
	N	%		%		N	%	
Ashland	4	0.4%	0.4%	30.8%		13	0.4%	
Brown	4	0.4%	0.4%	44.4%		9	0.3%	
Clinton	4	0.4%	0.4%	33.3%		12	0.4%	
Columbiana	4	0.4%	0.4%	25.0%		16	0.5%	
Gallia	4	0.4%	0.4%	50.0%		8	0.2%	
Jackson	4	0.4%	0.4%	28.6%		14	0.4%	
Ross	4	0.4%	0.4%	33.3%		12	0.4%	
Ashtabula	3	0.3%	0.3%	27.3%		11	0.3%	
Champaign	3	0.3%	0.3%	50.0%		6	0.2%	
Coshocton	3	0.3%	0.3%	50.0%		6	0.2%	
Madison	3	0.3%	0.3%	50.0%		6	0.2%	
Meigs	3	0.3%	0.3%	75.0%		4	0.1%	
Mercer	3	0.3%	0.3%	42.9%		7	0.2%	
Sandusky	3	0.3%	0.3%	33.3%		9	0.3%	
Tuscarawas	3	0.3%	0.3%	33.3%		9	0.3%	
Union	3	0.3%	0.3%	60.0%		5	0.2%	
Geauga	2	0.2%	0.2%	33.3%		6	0.2%	
Guernsey	2	0.2%	0.2%	18.2%		11	0.3%	
Henry	2	0.2%	0.2%	40.0%		5	0.2%	
Huron	2	0.2%	0.2%	25.0%		8	0.2%	
Morrow	2	0.2%	0.2%	50.0%		4	0.1%	
Ottawa	2	0.2%	0.2%	22.2%		9	0.3%	
Wayne	2	0.2%	0.2%	22.2%		9	0.3%	
Williams	2	0.2%	0.2%	22.2%		9	0.3%	
Belmont	1	0.1%	0.1%	20.0%		5	0.2%	
Carroll	1	0.1%	0.1%	50.0%		2	0.1%	
Darke	1	0.1%	0.1%	20.0%		5	0.2%	
Fulton	1	0.1%	0.1%	12.5%		8	0.2%	
Monroe	1	0.1%	0.1%	50.0%		2	0.1%	
Noble	1	0.1%	0.1%	100.0%		1	0.0%	
Paulding	1	0.1%	0.1%	16.7%		6	0.2%	
Perry	1	0.1%	0.1%	33.3%		3	0.1%	
Putnam	1	0.1%	0.1%	33.3%		3	0.1%	
Seneca	1	0.1%	0.1%	11.1%		9	0.3%	
Van Wert	1	0.1%	0.1%	20.0%		5	0.2%	
Vinton	1	0.1%	0.1%	20.0%		5	0.2%	
Wyandot	1	0.1%	0.1%	50.0%		2	0.1%	
Adams	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		1	0.0%	
Hardin	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		4	0.1%	
Harrison	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		3	0.1%	
Holmes	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		3	0.1%	
Morgan	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		1	0.0%	
Pike	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		4	0.1%	
<b>Total</b>			<b>N = 1038</b>			<b>N = 3254</b>		

(Table 22)

	<b>Comparison of TNV and Overall Intake Study</b>	<b>2008 TNV</b>	<b>2008 Intake Study</b>
<b>AGES</b>	Mean age at commitment	32.3	33.3
	Mean age at first arrest	20.4	19.2
<b>GENDER</b>	Male	75.1%	86.2%
	Female	24.9%	13.8%
<b>RACE</b>	African American (Includes Hispanic Black)	40.5%	46.0%
	Caucasian (Includes Hispanic White)	59.2%	53.6%
<b>MARITAL STATUS</b>	Never Married	68.9%	68.7%
	Married	9.6%	10.4%
<b>EDUCATION</b>	Did not finish high school	38.4%	40.5%
	High School/GED	56.9%	55.4%
	College Degree	4.6%	4.1%
<b>EMPLOYMENT</b>	Unemployed	47.8%	57.6%
	Employed full time	28.0%	27.4%
<b>MENTAL ILLNESS</b>	Treated/ Diagnosed/Admission or evidence	26.9%	31.6%
<b>PHYSICAL ABUSE</b>	Yes (As a child or adolescent)	10.8%	11.2%
<b>SEXUAL ABUSE</b>	Yes (As a child or adolescent)	10.1%	9.1%
<b>DRUG ABUSE</b>	Recent (Within 6 months)	85.3%	78.7%
	Past (Beyond 6 months)	90.0%	89.5%
<b>ALCOHOL ABUSE</b>	Recent (Within 6 months)	42.6%	48.4%
	Past (Beyond 6 months)	65.4%	70.8%
<b>PROB/PAROLE VIOLATOR</b>	Tech Violator Probation	23.4%	14.8%
	New Crime Probation	17.8%	15.7%
	New Crime Parole	2.3%	8.3%
<b>JUVENILE RECORD</b>	Has a juvenile record	40.3%	49.4%
<b>PRIOR FELONY</b>	Has a prior felony	50.6%	61.7%
<b>PRIOR PRISON INCARCERATION</b>	Has a prior prison incarceration	33.2%	48.8%
<b>PRIOR JAIL TERM</b>	Has a prior jail term	49.3%	61.2%
<b>PRIOR SUPERVISION</b>	Had a prior supervision term	72.9%	75.5%
<b>PRIOR REVOCATION</b>	Had a prior revocation of supervision	53.8%	54.5%
<b>MILITARY SERVICE</b>	Claims veteran status	5.0%	6.3%