

**“TRULY NON-VIOLENT” OFFENDERS ADMITTED TO THE OHIO
DEPARTMENT OF REHABILITATION AND CORRECTION IN 2009**

**Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction
Ernie Moore, Director**

Bureau of Research and Evaluation

2009 Intake Study Conducted by:

**Jim Bates
Reeda Boyd
Ronnie Easter
Cynthia Gonzalez
Vicky Muncy
Bureau of Research and Evaluation
Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction**

**Report Conducted by:
Ronnie Easter, Correction Classification Specialist
Jim Bates, Correction Classification Specialist
Bureau of Research and Evaluation
Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction**

INTRODUCTION

This report is a summary of the characteristics of the inmate population defined as the **Truly Non-Violent (TNV)**. Offenders classified as truly non-violent have no violent current conviction or indictment offense, no prior felony conviction for a violent or sex offense, no gun time, and no weapon involvement in the current offense.¹ This summary is compiled from the 2009 Intake Study conducted by the Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction.

The specific content of this report includes the following information: (1) basic social and demographic characteristics; (2) prevalence of mental illness, abuse, and substance abuse; (3) current offense characteristics; (4) criminal history characteristics; and (5) the geographical distribution of TNV inmates across Ohio counties. The information is presented in most cases for the overall TNV population and for the TNV population by key counties.²

SOCIAL AND DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS

The overall sample of the 2009 Intake Study includes 3,358 inmates. Of this population, the truly non-violent consists of 977 offenders (or 29.1% of the entire 2008 Intake Study).

The average age at current commitment of the TNV offenders is 31.8 years and the median age at commitment is 29.0 years old. The average age for the TNV at first arrest is 20.6 years with the median age being 19.0 years old. Similar age characteristics exist by key counties.

(Table 1)

Age	Total TNV	Butler	Cuyahoga	Franklin	Hamilton	Montgomery	Scioto	Stark	Summit
Mean Age at Current Commitment	31.8	33.5	31.3	33.5	30.1	32.4	29.7	32.9	33.0
Mean Age at First Arrest	20.6	21.8	20.0	19.5	18.7	22.0	19.8	22.1	21.5
Median Age at Current Commitment	29.0	33.0	29.0	33.0	27.0	30.0	28.5	27.5	30.0
Median Age at First Arrest	19.0	19.0	18.0	19.0	17.0	19.0	18.5	18.5	19.0

Males comprise 71.5% of TNV inmates, while females comprise 28.4% of this sub-sample. For the eight highlighted counties between 56.6% and 87.5% of the TNV population are male.

(Table 2)

Gender	Total TNV	Butler	Cuyahoga	Franklin	Hamilton	Montgomery	Scioto	Stark	Summit
Female	28.4%	31.4%	23.5%	41.1%	12.5%	44.4%	41.2%	15.6%	28.8%
Male	71.5%	68.6%	76.5%	59.9%	87.5%	56.6%	58.8%	84.4%	73.2%

¹Bates, Jim, Rachael Gossett and Steve Van Dine. 2004. 2003 Intake Study. Columbus, OH: Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction.

²Key counties in this report are considered to be the top eight counties in terms of TNV population. They consist of Cuyahoga (n = 183), Hamilton (n = 88), Franklin (n = 56), Summit (n = 41), Montgomery (n = 54), Butler (n = 35), Scioto (n = 34) and Stark (n = 32) counties.

The overall racial composition of the TNV sample is 34.6% African American (which also included 0.1% of Hispanic-Black), 63.8% Caucasian (which also included 0.9% of Hispanic-white), 1.6% other (which also included 1.3% of Hispanic-Other). Looking at the county level data more closely, the racial composition of Cuyahoga and Hamilton counties have greater proportions who are African American, while in five counties the majority of TNV commitments are Caucasian. In Franklin County Caucasian and African American proportions are tied with 48.2%.

(Table 3)

Race and Ethnicity	Total TNV	Butler	Cuyahoga	Franklin	Hamilton	Montgomery	Scioto	Stark	Summit
African American	34.6%	25.7%	66.7%	48.2%	75.0%	38.9%	11.8%	18.8%	31.7%
Asian	0.2%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
White	63.8%	71.4%	30.6%	48.2%	21.6%	61.1%	88.2%	81.3%	68.3%
Other	1.4%	0.0%	2.7%	3.6%	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

The majority of TNV inmates (68.3%) are single at the time of arrest. Looking at the marital status by key counties shows some varying levels of married, divorced, separated or widowed, but the majority of individuals have never married.

(Table 4)

Marital Status	Total TNV	Butler	Cuyahoga	Franklin	Hamilton	Montgomery	Scioto	Stark	Summit
Single	68.3%	57.1%	78.6%	73.2%	86.0%	64.8%	52.9%	68.8%	73.2%
Married	11.3%	20.0%	8.2%	3.6%	2.3%	7.4%	17.6%	15.6%	4.9%
Separated	7.0%	14.3%	3.8%	8.9%	5.8%	13.0%	2.9%	3.1%	9.8%
Divorced	12.4%	5.7%	8.8%	12.5%	5.8%	13.0%	23.5%	12.5%	9.8%
Widowed	1.0%	2.9%	0.5%	1.8%	0.0%	1.9%	2.9%	0.0%	2.4%

Over one third, 36.9%, of the TNV sample have graduated high school or received a GED as their highest educational attainment, while 40.5% never completed high school. Inmates have some college education and no degree 18.6% of the time, and 4.1% have a degree from a college institution (AA, BA/BS, MA/MS, Ph.D., law or medical degree). Of those in the TNV report, Stark (45.2%), Montgomery (44.0%), Butler (42.4%), and Summit (39.0%) counties have the highest percentages that have graduated high school or received their high school equivalency.

(Table 5)

Education	Total TNV	Butler	Cuyahoga	Franklin	Hamilton	Montgomery	Scioto	Stark	Summit
No High School	6.1%	6.1%	3.6%	18.2%	7.3%	8.0%	12.5%	3.2%	7.3%
Some High School	34.4%	21.2%	49.7%	29.1%	36.6%	32.0%	31.3%	25.8%	24.4%
High School or GED	36.9%	42.4%	27.3%	23.6%	26.8%	44.0%	34.4%	45.2%	39.0%
Some College	18.6%	27.3%	17.6%	23.6%	24.4%	14.0%	21.9%	19.4%	22.0%
College Degree	4.1%	3.0%	1.8%	5.5%	4.9%	2.0%	0.0%	6.5%	7.3%

Over half (54.8%) of TNV offenders are unemployed at the time of arrest; however, of the offenders that are employed, most are working on a full-time basis. Stark County has the greatest percentage of inmates that are

working full-time status (38.7%), while Scioto County contains the highest percentage of unemployed offenders (69.7%).

(Table 6)

Employment Status	Total TNV	Butler	Cuyahoga	Franklin	Hamilton	Montgomery	Scioto	Stark	Summit
Unemployed	54.8%	50.0%	54.8%	54.5%	51.2%	58.0%	69.7%	58.1%	45.0%
Employed Part Time	5.1%	3.1%	9.6%	3.6%	2.4%	4.0%	0.0%	3.2%	7.5%
Employed Full Time	25.4%	34.4%	23.7%	23.6%	23.8%	16.0%	15.2%	38.7%	27.5%
Self Employed	3.9%	6.3%	3.4%	0.0%	2.4%	4.0%	6.1%	0.0%	12.5%
Temporary Agency & Seasonal Work	2.1%	0.0%	2.8%	0.0%	6.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.5%
Work Under-the-Table	8.6%	6.3%	5.6%	18.2%	14.3%	18.0%	9.1%	0.0%	5.0%

PREVALENCE OF MENTAL ILLNESS, ABUSE, AND SUBSTANCE ABUSE

The percentage of individuals in the overall TNV population with mental health problems is 31.4%. Hamilton County has the lowest percentage of indicated mental illness with 13.8%. Montgomery County has highest percentage of indicated mental illness with 47.2%.

(Table 7)

Mental Illness	Total TNV	Butler	Cuyahoga	Franklin	Hamilton	Montgomery	Scioto	Stark	Summit
None	68.6%	76.5%	77.3%	63.0%	86.2%	52.8%	85.3%	56.3%	85.0%
Self Admission or Evidence	2.9%	2.9%	2.2%	1.9%	0.0%	7.5%	2.9%	0.0%	2.5%
Diagnosed	0.8%	2.9%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Treated	27.6%	17.6%	18.8%	35.2%	13.8%	39.6%	11.8%	43.8%	12.5%

Of the 977 TNV offenders, 11.7% reported being physically abused as a child or adolescent and 12.3% reported being sexually abused as a child or an adolescent. The majority of TNV inmates did not experience physical or sexual abuse growing up.

(Table 8)

Physical Abuse as Child or Adolescent	Total TNV	Butler	Cuyahoga	Franklin	Hamilton	Montgomery	Scioto	Stark	Summit
No	88.3%	88.6%	89.6%	78.6%	93.2%	84.6%	94.1%	90.3%	95.1%
Yes	11.7%	11.4%	10.4%	21.4%	6.8%	15.4%	5.9%	9.7%	4.9%
Sexual Abuse as Child or Adolescent	Total TNV	Butler	Cuyahoga	Franklin	Hamilton	Montgomery	Scioto	Stark	Summit
No	87.7%	82.9%	90.2%	74.5%	93.2%	79.2%	90.9%	93.8%	95.1%
Yes	12.3%	17.1%	9.8%	25.5%	6.8%	20.8%	9.1%	6.3%	4.9%

Drug abuse within the six months immediately before and including the day of arrest for the instant offense (i.e., a recent problem) is indicated for 85.5% of the total TNV sample. Past drug abuse (more than six months before the arrest for the instant offense) is characteristic for 90.3% of the truly non-violent. Treatment for past drug abuse is much more common than for recent drug abuse. County patterns for treatment vary.

(Table 9)

Recent Drug Abuse	Total TNV	Butler	Cuyahoga	Franklin	Hamilton	Montgomery	Scioto	Stark	Summit
No Indication Self Admission or Evidence	14.5%	20.0%	8.7%	18.2%	13.8%	7.4%	3.0%	18.8%	19.5%
Treatment	83.8%	80.0%	89.6%	80.0%	86.2%	88.9%	97.0%	71.9%	78.0%
	1.6%	0.0%	1.6%	1.8%	0.0%	3.7%	0.0%	9.4%	2.4%
Past Drug Abuse									
No Indication Self Admission or Evidence	9.7%	14.3%	2.7%	16.1%	10.3%	9.3%	0.0%	9.4%	12.2%
Diagnosis of Problem	59.2%	62.9%	61.7%	50.0%	72.4%	57.4%	72.7%	62.5%	53.7%
Treatment	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	31.1%	22.9%	35.5%	33.9%	17.2%	33.3%	27.3%	28.1%	34.1%

Recent alcohol abuse (within the six months immediately before and including the day of arrest for the instant offense) is noted for 41.2% of the TNV sub-sample, while past alcohol abuse is seen for 46.9%. Like drug abuse, treatment for alcohol abuse is more likely for past drinking behavior compared to more current drinking. Further, alcohol abuse is more common for the male TNVs, especially recent usage. While gender and alcohol abuse not represented by a table the percentage of recent alcohol abuse for males is 39.2% (as compared to 41.7% for females) and the percentage of past alcohol abuse for males is 59.9% (as compared to 64.5% for females).

(Table 10)

Recent Alcohol Abuse	Total TNV	Butler	Cuyahoga	Franklin	Hamilton	Montgomery	Scioto	Stark	Summit
No Indication Self Admission or Evidence	58.8%	57.1%	59.3%	50.9%	67.8%	70.4%	55.9%	46.9%	62.5%
Treatment Recently	40.5%	42.9%	39.6%	45.5%	32.2%	29.6%	44.1%	50.0%	35.0%
	0.7%	0.0%	1.1%	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.1%	2.5%
Past Alcohol Abuse									
No Indication Self Admission or Evidence	35.9%	31.4%	37.7%	25.5%	52.3%	53.7%	32.4%	34.4%	29.3%
Treatment	46.9%	51.4%	49.2%	49.1%	31.4%	37.0%	55.9%	50.0%	51.2%
	17.2%	17.1%	13.1%	25.5%	16.3%	9.3%	11.8%	15.6%	19.5%

CURRENT OFFENSE CHARACTERISTICS

Almost one half (44.5%) of the total TNV have drug trafficking or drug possession as their most serious indictment offense. More specifically, 46.7% of the men and 38.9% of the women were indicted for drug trafficking or drug possession within the TNV sub-sample.

(Table 11)

Most Serious Indictment Offense					
<u>Total TNV</u>		<u>Butler</u>		<u>Cuyahoga</u>	
Trafficking In Drugs	22.9%	Grand Theft	31.4%	Trafficking In Drugs	37.7%
Drug Possession	21.6%	Drug Possession	20.0%	Drug Possession	23.5%
Grand Theft	15.4%	Trafficking In Drugs	14.3%	Grand Theft	9.3%
Receiving Stolen Property	6.1%	Aggravated Vehicular Assault	5.7%	Receiving. Stolen Property	6.6%
Breaking And Entering	3.0%	Receiving Stolen Property	5.7%	Fail To Comply	4.4%
Forgery	3.0%	Misuse of Credit Card	2.9%	Tampering with Evidence	3.3%
<hr/>					
<u>Franklin</u>		<u>Hamilton</u>		<u>Montgomery</u>	
Drug Possession	33.9%	Trafficking In Drugs	54.5%	Drug Possession	63.0%
Grand Theft	12.5%	Drug Possession	13.6%	Grand Theft	13.0%
Receiving Stolen Property	10.7%	Grand Theft	11.4%	Receiving. Stolen Property	11.1%
Trafficking In Drugs	7.1%	Breaking And Entering	6.8%	Forgery	3.7%
Identity Fraud	5.4%	Receiving Stolen Property	3.4%	Identity Fraud	1.9%
Driving while Intoxicated	5.4%	Forgery	2.3%	Tampering with Evidence	1.9%
<hr/>					
<u>Scioto</u>		<u>Stark</u>		<u>Summit</u>	
Grand Theft	29.4%	Grand Theft	21.9%	Drug Possession	17.1%
Drug Possession	14.7%	Drug Possession	18.8%	Illegal Manufacture Of Drugs	14.6%
Trafficking In Drugs	14.7%	Trafficking In Drugs	12.5%	Grand Theft	12.2%
Illegal Manufacture Of Drugs	8.8%	Receiving Stolen Property	12.5%	Trafficking In Drugs	12.2%
Breaking And Entering	5.9%	Breaking And Entering	9.4%	Illegal Assembly Chemicals Drug	
Receiving Stolen Property	5.9%	Driving while Intoxicated	9.4%	Manufacture	9.8%
				Receiving. Stolen Property	7.3%

Drug possession and drug trafficking account for 44.7% of the overall TNV offenses. Just over half the TNV's (51.4%) are incarcerated on a drug-related offense as their most serious offense. Other common conviction offenses for the TNV (measured as the most serious conviction offense) include property crimes such as theft (13.9%), receiving stolen property (6.3%) illegal manufacture of drugs (3.6%), and forgery (3.5%).

(Table 12)

Most Serious Conviction Offense									
<u>Total TNV</u>		<u>Butler</u>			<u>Cuyahoga</u>				
Drug Possession	23.3%	Grand Theft	28.6%	Trafficking In Drugs	36.6%				
Trafficking In Drugs	21.4%	Drug Possession	28.6%	Drug Possession	25.7%				
Grand Theft	13.9%	Trafficking In Drugs	8.6%	Grand Theft	9.3%				
Receiving Stolen Property	6.3%	Receiving Stolen Property	5.7%	Receiving Stolen Property	5.5%				
Illegal Manufacture Of Drugs	3.6%	Nonsupport of Dependents	5.7%	Resisting Arrest	3.8%				
Forgery	3.5%	Aggravated Vehicular Assault	5.7%	Breaking And Entering	2.7%				
<u>Franklin</u>		<u>Hamilton</u>			<u>Montgomery</u>				
Drug Possession	25.0%	Trafficking In Drugs	52.3%	Drug Possession	59.3%				
Grand Theft	10.7%	Drug Possession	14.8%	2 Offenses Each	11.1%				
Trafficking In Drugs	8.9%	Grand Theft	10.2%	Forgery	7.4%				
Identity Fraud	7.1%	Breaking And Entering	6.8%	Tampering with Evidence	3.7%				
Receiving Stolen Property	7.1%	Receiving Stolen Property	5.7%	Identity Fraud	1.9%				
Forgery	5.4%	Forgery	2.3%	Trafficking In Drugs	1.9%				
<u>Scioto</u>		<u>Stark</u>			<u>Summit</u>				
Grand Theft	26.5%	Grand Theft	21.9%	Illegal Manufacture Of Drugs	24.4%				
Drug Possession	20.6%	Drug Possession	18.8%	Drug Possession	19.5%				
Tampering with Evidence	8.8%	Receiving Stolen Property	12.5%	Grand Theft	12.2%				
Trafficking In Drugs	8.8%	Trafficking In Drugs	12.5%	Trafficking In Drugs	9.8%				
Receiving Stolen Property	5.9%	Breaking And Entering	9.4%	Receiving Stolen Property.	7.3%				
Illegal Manufacture Of Drugs	5.9%	Driving while Intoxicated	9.4%	Burglary	2.4%				

Approximately 39.5% of the TNV sample is incarcerated due to a violation of probation (technical violation or new crime) or a violation of parole/PRC (new crime). Montgomery County had the largest technical violation of probation at 51.9%. Summit County had the largest new crime probation violators at 31.7%. Stark, Cuyahoga and Butler Counties had the highest proportions, 71.9%, 71.6% and 71.4% respectively of TNV commitments who were not coming to prison as violators of some type.

(Table 13)

Parole or Probation Violator	Total TNV	Butler	Cuyahoga	Franklin	Hamilton	Montgomery	Scioto	Stark	Summit
Not a Violator	60.5%	71.4%	71.6%	60.7%	54.5%	27.8%	54.5%	71.9%	51.2%
Technical Violation – Probation	20.1%	14.3%	5.5%	21.4%	27.3%	51.9%	24.2%	18.8%	14.6%
New Crime – Technical Violation	2.4%	8.6%	2.2%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%
New Crime – Probation	14.9%	5.7%	16.9%	17.9%	12.5%	16.7%	12.1%	9.4%	31.7%
New Crime – Parole	2.1%	0.0%	3.8%	0.0%	4.5%	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%

CRIMINAL HISTORY CHARACTERISTICS

Evidence of having had a juvenile record in the total TNV report is 39.9%. Hamilton County leads the counties below with the highest percentage of 60.2% in reported juvenile records and Butler County has the lowest percentage of 31.4% in reported juvenile records. (However, juvenile records are erratically available.)

(Table 14)

Juvenile Record	Total TNV	Butler	Cuyahoga	Franklin	Hamilton	Montgomery	Scioto	Stark	Summit
No Record	60.1%	68.6%	62.8%	64.3%	39.8%	57.4%	67.6%	50.0%	61.0%
Record Expunged									
Unavailable	10.0%	2.9%	3.8%	7.1%	10.2%	13.0%	2.9%	21.9%	24.4%
Record Indicated	29.9%	28.6%	33.3%	28.6%	50.0%	29.6%	29.4%	28.1%	14.6%

Over three-fifths of the TNV inmates from Cuyahoga County have at least one prior felony conviction (65.6%). On the other hand, Stark County has the largest percentage of inmates with no previous felony conviction (71.9%).

(Table 15)

Total Prior Felony Convictions	Total TNV	Butler	Cuyahoga	Franklin	Hamilton	Montgomery	Scioto	Stark	Summit
None	50.1%	57.1%	34.4%	35.7%	46.0%	50.0%	58.8%	71.9%	39.0%
1 – 4	44.3%	42.9%	51.3%	53.5%	46.0%	42.7%	41.1%	25.0%	56.1%
5 – 9	5.1%	0.0%	12.0%	10.8%	7.9%	7.4%	0.0%	3.1%	4.8%
10 or more	0.5%	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Approximately 79.5% of all TNV inmates have had at least one prior arrest within the past five years. Franklin County inmates were most likely to have been arrested in the past five years (91.1%). About 21.9% of all TNV inmates have had 5 to 9 arrests within the same time period. Only 7.2% of all TNV inmates have had ten or more arrests in the past five years. Hamilton County at 23.9% leads the way with those having ten or more arrests while only 1.8% from Franklin County has had ten or more arrests in the preceding five years.

(Table 16)

Number of Arrests in the Past Five Years	Total TNV	Butler	Cuyahoga	Franklin	Hamilton	Montgomery	Scioto	Stark	Summit
None	20.5%	17.1%	15.3%	8.9%	14.8%	16.7%	29.4%	12.5%	24.4%
1 – 4	50.5%	57.2%	53.1%	57.2%	35.3%	53.8%	44.1%	59.4%	61.0%
5 – 9	21.9%	17.2%	25.1%	32.2%	26.2%	24.1%	23.4%	25.1%	7.3%
10 or more	7.2%	8.6%	6.6%	1.8%	23.9%	5.6%	2.9%	3.1%	7.3%

About 67.5% of TNV offenders have no prior incarcerations in prison. Additionally, 29.4% have 1 to 4 prior incarcerations and 3.0% have 5 or more prior prison incarcerations. Cuyahoga County has the largest proportion of TNV's (46.4%) with at least one prior prison incarceration, while Stark County has the lowest proportion (18.7 %) with at least one prior prison incarceration.

(Table 17)

Prior Prison Incarcerations	Total TNV	Butler	Cuyahoga	Franklin	Hamilton	Montgomery	Scioto	Stark	Summit
None	67.5%	74.3%	53.6%	62.5%	60.9%	64.8%	73.5%	81.3%	63.4%
1 – 4	29.4%	25.7%	39.9%	28.6%	33.3%	35.3%	26.4%	18.7%	36.5%
5 – more	3.0%	0.0%	6.6%	9.0%	5.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Just over half (50.5%) of all TNV inmates were free of criminal justice supervision at the time of their offense. Over four in ten (42.7%) were on either probation or parole supervision.

(Table 18)

Supervision Status for Those with No Prior Prison	Total TNV	Butler	Cuyahoga	Franklin	Hamilton	Montgomery	Scioto	Stark	Summit
Free Of CJ System Supervision	50.5%	69.2%	62.2%	48.6%	39.6%	17.1%	45.8%	65.4%	46.2%
Active Arrest Warrant	1.9%	0.0%	4.1%	2.9%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Released Own Recognizance Bond	4.6%	0.0%	5.1%	5.7%	3.8%	2.9%	8.3%	3.8%	7.7%
On Probation	42.4%	30.8%	28.6%	42.9%	54.7%	77.1%	45.8%	26.9%	46.2%
On Parole	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
In Jail	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	0.0%

Prior jail incarcerations are characteristic of 49.0% of the total TNV inmates. Inmates with prior with prior jail terms generally have 1 to 4 terms in a jail facility (40.6%).

(Table 19)

Prior Jail Incarcerations	Total TNV	Butler	Cuyahoga	Franklin	Hamilton	Montgomery	Scioto	Stark	Summit
None	51.0%	42.9%	54.1%	32.1%	42.5%	53.7%	55.9%	37.5%	62.5%
1 – 4	40.6%	51.5%	40.4%	57.1%	40.2%	31.5%	38.2%	50.1%	27.5%
5 – 9	7.2%	5.8%	4.9%	7.2%	17.2%	13.0%	2.9%	9.4%	10.0%
10 or more	1.2%	0.0%	0.5%	3.6%	0.0%	1.9%	2.9%	3.1%	0.0%

Approximately 72.6% of the TNV sub-sample has prior terms of adult supervision. Those having prior supervisions range from 56.2% in Stark County to 87.0% in Montgomery County.

(Table 20)

Prior Supervision Terms	Total TNV	Butler	Cuyahoga	Franklin	Hamilton	Montgomery	Scioto	Stark	Summit
None	27.4%	34.3%	26.2%	19.6%	21.8%	13.0%	38.2%	43.8%	19.5%
1 – 4	67.5%	60.0%	67.2%	69.6%	71.3%	81.4%	55.9%	56.3%	80.5%
5 – 9	4.8%	5.8%	6.5%	9.0%	6.8%	5.6%	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%
10 or more	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

An earlier revocation of adult supervision is characteristic of 49.8% of the TNV sub-sample. Montgomery County (79.6 %) admitted the greatest number of those with revocations; on the other hand, Scioto County offenders (35.3%) had the least revocations.

(Table 21)

Supervision Revocations	Total TNV	Butler	Cuyahoga	Franklin	Hamilton	Montgomery	Scioto	Stark	Summit
None	50.2%	54.3%	56.3%	35.7%	51.7%	20.4%	64.7%	59.4%	41.5%
1 – 2	46.5%	42.8%	40.4%	59.0%	41.4%	77.8%	35.3%	40.7%	56.1%
3 or more	3.3%	2.9%	3.2%	5.4%	6.8%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%

GEOGRAPHICAL DISTRIBUTION OF TNV INMATES ACROSS OHIO COUNTIES

The top eight counties for population in terms of Ohio’s TNV population (i.e., the counties presented in other sections of the report) are also the top eight counties for population in the overall 2009 Intake Study. Butler,

Cuyahoga, Franklin, Hamilton, Montgomery, Scioto, Stark and Summit counties account for 53.5% of Ohio's truly non-violent population, while accounting for 55.1% of the 2009 Intake Study. Geographical distributions of the 2009 Truly Non-Violent and the 2009 Intake Study are presented below.

(Table 22)

County	Truly Non-Violent		TNV by County	2009 Intake Study	
	County TNV as a Portion of Total TNV	%		Overall Intake Study by County	%
	N	%	%	N	%
Cuyahoga	183	18.7	27.6%	664	19.8
Hamilton	88	9.0	31.2%	282	8.4
Franklin	56	5.7	20.1%	278	8.3
Montgomery	54	5.5	29.7%	182	5.4
Summit	41	4.2	25.2%	163	4.9
Butler	35	3.6	34.3%	102	3.0
Scioto	34	3.5	48.6%	70	2.1
Stark	32	3.3	29.1%	110	3.3
Licking	20	2.0	43.5%	46	1.4
Lawrence	17	1.7	50.0%	34	1.0
Lake	16	1.6	42.1%	38	1.1
Lucas	15	1.5	12.8%	117	3.5
Richland	15	1.5	31.3%	48	1.4
Marion	14	1.4	43.8%	32	1.0
Clermont	13	1.3	27.7%	47	1.4
Clark	12	1.2	23.5%	51	1.5
Athens	11	1.1	42.3%	26	0.8
Delaware	11	1.1	40.7%	27	0.8
Mahoning	11	1.1	23.4%	47	1.4
Williams	11	1.1	52.4%	21	0.6
Shelby	10	1.0	58.8%	17	0.5
Warren	10	1.0	35.7%	28	0.8
Adams	9	0.9	60.0%	15	0.4
Champaign	9	0.9	37.5%	24	0.7
Greene	9	0.9	18.4%	49	1.5
Hancock	9	0.9	75.0%	12	0.4
Huron	9	0.9	50.0%	18	0.5
Portage	9	0.9	20.0%	45	1.3
Ross	9	0.9	37.5%	24	0.7
Brown	8	0.8	25.8%	31	0.9
Fairfield	8	0.8	38.1%	21	0.6
Highland	8	0.8	42.1%	19	0.6
Meigs	8	0.8	72.7%	11	0.3
Wood	8	0.8	30.8%	26	0.8
Clinton	7	0.7	33.3%	21	0.6
Columbiana	7	0.7	41.2%	17	0.5
Crawford	7	0.7	33.3%	21	0.6
Medina	7	0.7	30.4%	23	0.7
Allen	6	0.6	28.6%	21	0.6
Gallia	6	0.6	66.7%	9	0.3
Hocking	6	0.6	42.9%	14	0.4
Lorain	6	0.6	14.0%	43	1.3
Sandusky	6	0.6	33.3%	18	0.5
Trumbull	6	0.6	12.8%	47	1.4
Erie	5	0.5	20.8%	24	0.7

(Table 22 Continued)

County	Truly Non-Violent		TNV by County	2009 Intake Study	
	County TNV as a Portion of Total TNV	%		Overall Intake Study by County	%
	N	%	%	N	%
Miami	5	0.5	10.4%	48	1.4

Muskingum	5	0.5	26.3%	19	0.6
Pickaway	5	0.5	35.7%	14	0.4
Wayne	5	0.5	26.3%	19	0.6
Fayette	4	0.4	26.7%	15	0.4
Fulton	4	0.4	36.4%	11	0.3
Guernsey	4	0.4	25.0%	16	0.5
Jackson	4	0.4	40.0%	10	0.3
Madison	4	0.4	44.4%	9	0.3
Tuscarawas	4	0.4	44.4%	9	0.3
Washington	4	0.4	30.8%	13	0.4
Auglaize	3	0.3	17.6%	17	0.5
Jefferson	3	0.3	33.3%	9	0.3
Knox	3	0.3	30.0%	10	0.3
Logan	3	0.3	25.0%	12	0.4
Paulding	3	0.3	50.0%	6	0.2
Perry	3	0.3	60.0%	5	0.1
Putnam	3	0.3	60.0%	5	0.1
Ashland	2	0.2	22.2%	9	0.3
Darke	2	0.2	40.0%	5	0.1
Hardin	2	0.2	28.6%	7	0.2
Preble	2	0.2	25.0%	8	0.2
Van Wert	2	0.2	40.0%	5	0.1
Ashtabula	1	0.1	4.8%	21	0.6
Belmont	1	0.1	12.5%	8	0.2
Carroll	1	0.1	50.0%	2	0.1
Coshocton	1	0.1	16.7%	6	0.2
Defiance	1	0.1	20.0%	5	0.1
Geauga	1	0.1	25.0%	4	0.1
Henry	1	0.1	16.7%	6	0.2
Holmes	1	0.1	100.0%	1	0.0
Mercer	1	0.1	50.0%	2	0.1
Morgan	1	0.1	100.0%	1	0.0
Morrow	1	0.1	25.0%	4	0.1
Noble	1	0.1	100.0%	1	0.0
Ottawa	1	0.1	33.3%	3	0.1
Pike	1	0.1	16.7%	6	0.2
Seneca	1	0.1	11.1%	9	0.3
Union	1	0.1	20.0%	5	0.1
Vinton	1	0.1	33.3%	3	0.1
Monroe	0	0.0	0.0%	3	0.1
Harrison	0	0.0	0.0%	2	0.1
Wyandot	0	0.0	0.0%	2	0.1
Total		N = 977		N = 3358	

(Table 23)

Comparison of TNV and Overall Intake Study		2009 TNV	2009 Intake Study
AGES	Mean age at commitment	31.8 years	32.1 years
	Mean age at first arrest	20.6 years	18.7 years
GENDER	Male	71.5%	87.0%
	Female	28.4%	13.0%

RACE AND ETHNICITY	African American (Includes Hispanic Black)	34.6%	43.5%
	Asian	0.2%	0.1%
	Caucasian (Includes Hispanic White)	63.8%	55.3%
	Other (Includes Hispanic-Other and Native American)	1.6%	1.1%
MARITAL STATUS	Never Married	68.3%	69.9%
	Married	11.3%	10.1%
EDUCATION	Did not finish high school	40.2%	42.6%
	High School/GED	36.9%	54.2%
	College Degree	4.1%	3.2%
EMPLOYMENT	Unemployed	54.8%	63.7%
	Employed full time	25.4%	24.7%
MENTAL ILLNESS	Treated/ Diagnosed/Admission or Evidence	31.4%	32.9%
PHYSICAL ABUSE	Yes (As a child or adolescent)	11.7%	12.1%
SEXUAL ABUSE	Yes (As a child or adolescent)	12.3%	9.8%
DRUG ABUSE	Recent (Within 6 months)	85.5%	78.4%
	Past (Beyond 6 months)	90.3%	90.0%
ALCOHOL ABUSE	Recent (Within 6 months)	41.2%	48.9%
	Past (Beyond 6 months)	64.1%	70.4%
PROB/PAROLE VIOLATOR	Tech Violator Probation	20.1%	12.0%
	New Crime Tech Violator	2.4%	1.1%
	New Crime Probation	14.9%	14.7%
	New Crime Parole	2.1%	7.8%
JUVENILE RECORD	Has a juvenile record	39.9%	50.5%
PRIOR FELONY	Has a prior felony	49.9%	60.4%
PRIOR PRISON INCARCERATION	Has a prior prison incarceration	32.5%	48.1%
PRIOR JAIL TERM	Has a prior jail term	49.0%	59.7%
PRIOR SUPERVISION	Had a prior supervision term	72.6%	75.4%
PRIOR REVOCATION	Had a prior revocation of supervision	49.8%	52.1%